INDEX.

	PAGE
Caption	1
Libel and Complaint	
Stipulation for Costs	7
Order for Process	
Request of Libelant for dismissal of case as to the Tug "Hercules" and Atwacoal Transportation Company, Owner	
Order of Dismissal, dated 1/7/43 as to the Tug "Hercules", and Atwacoal Transportation Company, owner	
Notice of Special Appearance and Exception to Juris- diction by Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., Re- spondent	
Order dated 9/1/43 to present Special Appearance for argument on 1/12/43, etc.	13 .
Proof of Publication in "Miami Review and Daily Record"	
Writ of Attachment and Marshal's Return thereon on Tug "Hercules"	
Monition and Marshal's Return thereon on Tug "Hercules"	
Writ of Restitution in cause pending and Marshal's Return thereon on Tug "Hercules"	19
Writ of Attachment and Marshal's Return thereon on Barge "Anaconda"	21
Monition and Marshal's Return thereon on Barge "Anaconda"	22
Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	25
Final Decree, entered 1/14/43	30
Writ of Restitution in case pending and Marshal's Return thereon on Barge "Anaconda"	31

INDEX—Continued

Publishita	PAGE
	33
Exhibits: Exhibit (not marked)—Photostat of Bill of Lading Exhibit (not marked)—Photostat of War Shipping Administration Sugar Charter Party Notice of Appeal Stipulation for Value Assignment of Errors Appeal Bond Appellant's Designation of Contents of Record on Appeal Clerk's Certificate Proceedings in U. S. C. C. A., Fifth Circuit Minute entry of argument and submission Opinion, Hutcheson, J. Judgment Motion and order staying mandate Order further staying mandate Clerk's certificate (omitted in printing) 5	
Notice of Appeal	39
Stipulation for Value	40
Assignment of Errors	41
Appeal Bond	43
	44
Clerk's Certificate	47
Proceedings in U. S. C. C. A., Fifth Circuit	48
	48
Opinion, Hutcheson, J.	48
Judgment	52
Motion and order staying mandate	53
Order further staying mandate	54
. Clerk's certificate (omitted in printing)	55
Order allowing certiorari	55

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. FIFTH CIRCUIT:

No. 355-M-Admiralty.

THE AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING COMPANY. . Appellant,

versus

BARGE "ANACONDA" AND SMITH-ROWLAND COM-PANY, INC.,

Appellees.

APPEAL from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida.

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, MIAMI DIVISION.

No. 355-M-Admiralty.

THE AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING COMPANY. Libelant.

versus

BARGE "ANACONDA", TUG "HERCULES", SMITH-ROWLAND COMPANY, INC., AND ATWACOAL TRANSPORTATION COMPANY,

Respondents.

Bigham, Englar, Jones & Houston and Batchelor & Dver.

Proctors for The American Sugar Refining Company, Libelant.

Cody Fowler.

Proctor for Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., Respondent.

BE IT REMEMBERED, That in the District Court of the United States in and for the Southern District of Florida in Admiralty, in the above styled cause therein pending, the following Decrees, Orders and Proceedings were had, viz:

2 On the 6th day of January, 1943, the Libelant filed its LIBEL AND COMPLAINT, which is in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

"To the Honorable the Judges of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Miami Division:

#355-M-Adm.

The Libel and Complaint of The American Sugar Refining Company against Barge "Anaconda", Tug "Hercules", Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and Atwacoal Transportation Company, in a cause of contract and cargo damage, civil and maritime, alleges upon information and belief and respectfully shows to this Honorable Court as follows:

First: At all the times hereinafter mentioned, libelant was and it now is a corporation duly organized, created and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New Jersey, with an office and place of business at 120 Wall Street, County, City and State of New York.

Second: The barge "Anaconda" is now, or will be during the pendency of process hereunder, within this District and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court.

Third: The tug "Hercules" is now, or will be during the pendency of process hereunder, within this District and within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court.

Fourth: At all times hereinafter mentioned, Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., was and it now is a corporation duly organized, created and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Virginia, with an office and principal place of business at Norfolk, Virginia, owning and/or operating certain vessels engaged in the carriage of cargo on the high seas, including the barge "Anaconda".

Fifth: Libelant is informed and believes, and so alleges, that respondent Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., has no office or place of business and no officer within the Southern District of Florida, but that there is, or will be during the pendency of process hereunder, certain property within the jurisdiction of this Court belonging to said respondent, to-wit, the barge "Anaconda".

Sixth: At all times hereinafter mentioned, Atwacoal Transportation Company was and it now is a corporation duly organized, created and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Massachusetts, with an office and principal place of business at 150 South Main Street, Fall River, Massachusetts, owning and/or operating certain vessels engaged in the carriage of cargo on the high seas and in the towing of vessels on the high seas, including the tug "Hercules".

Seventh: Libelant is informed and believes, and so alleges, that respondent Atwacoal Transportation Company has no office or place of business and no officer within the Southern District of Florida, but that there is or will be during the pendency of process hereunder, certain property within the jurisdiction of this Court belonging to said respondent, to-wit, the tug "Hercules".

Eighth: On or about the 9th day of December, 1942, libelant and respondent Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., entered into a contract of charter-party wherein said respond.

ent agreed to let, and libelant agreed to hire, the barge "Anaconda" for one voyage for the carriage of sugar from the port of Havana, Cuba, to Port Everglades, Florida. A copy of said charter-party will be produced hereafter.

Ninth: Under the terms of the said charter-party respondent Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., warranted that the barge "Anaconda" would be tight, staunch, strong and in every way fitted for the voyage contracted for, so far as the exercise of due diligence could make her so.

Tenth: Prior to and at the commencement of the voyage hereinafter referred to, the said barge "Anaconda" was not tight, staunch, strong and in every way fitted for the voyage contracted for, and respondent Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., had not exercised due diligence to make her so.

Eleventh: Under the terms of the charter-party, respondent Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., agreed that it would provide and pay for the services of a tug to tow the said barge from the port of Havana, Cuba, to Port Everglades, Florida.

Twelfth: In accordance with the terms of the said charter-party, on or about the 24th day of December, 1942, Cuban American Sugar Company shipped 24,865 bags of Cuban centrifugal cane sugar at Havana on the barge "Anaconda" for carriage to Port Everglades, Florida. Bills of lading covering the transportation of the said cargo were thereupon issued by a duly authorized agent of respondent Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and Atwacoal Transportation Company, and of the barge "Anaconda" and the tug "Hercules".

Thirteenth: Thereafter the "Anaconda" sailed from the port of Havana, Cuba, in tow of the tug "Hercules" and, after some delay, arrived at Port Everglades, Florida, where a certain portion of the cargo shipped on the barge at Havana was delivered, but the portion delivered was not

in like good order and condition as when shipped but was seriously injured and damaged through contact with sea water and/or other substances to libelant unknown, as the result of the unseaworthiness of the said barge. A certain portion of the cargo shipped on said barge has not been delivered at Port Everglades, or at any other place, and libelant believes, and so alleges, that it became totally lost during the course of transportation on the said barge in tow of said tug as the result of the unseaworthiness of the said barge.

Fourteenth: At all material times hereunder, libelant was the owner of the merchandise described in article Twelfth hereof and is entitled to bring this action.

Fifteenth: Libelant has performed all the conditions precedent on its part to be performed under the terms of the contract of the carriage.

Sixteenth: By reason of the premises, libelant has sustained damage in the sum of \$100,000.00, as nearly as the same can now be estimated, no part of which has been paid although the same has been duly demanded.

Seventeenth: All and singular the promises are true and within the admiralty and maritime jurisdiction of the United States and of this Honorable Court.

Wherefore, Libelant prays:

1. That process in due form of law, according to the course and practice of this Honorable Court in causes of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, may issue against Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and against the barge "Anaconda", and that Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and all persons having or claiming any interest in said barge "Anaconda" be cited to appear and answer all and singular the matters aforesaid; and that in the event the said Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., cannot be found within this District or within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court,

- That process in due form of law, according to the course and practice of this Honorable Court in causes of admiralty and maritime jurisdiction, may issue against Atwacoal Transportation Company and against the tug "Hercules", and that Atwacoal Transportation Company, and all. persons having or claiming any interest in said tug "Hercules" be cited to appear and answer all and singular the matters aforesaid; and that in the event the said Atwacoal Transportation Company cannot be found within this District or within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court, then that its goods, chattels, credits and effects be attached in the amount set forth; and that this Honorable Court may be pleased to decree to libelant its damages, with interest and costs, and that the said tug "Hercules" be condemned and sold to satisfy the claim of libelant herein and to pay to libelant herein said damages, if any, as this Honorable Court may decree to libelant, together with costs.
- 3. That your libelant may have such other and further relief as in law and justice it may be entitled to receive.

 BIGHAM, ENGLAR, JONES & HOUSTON.

99 John Street, New York City, New York.

and

BATCHELOR & DYER,
By O. D. BATCHELOR,
Proctors for Libelant.

532-35 Ingraham Building, Miami, Florida. State of Florida, County of Dade, ss.

O. D. Batchelor, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is a member of the firm of Batchelor & Dyer, proctors for libelant herein; that he has read the foregoing libel, knows the contents thereof, and that the same is true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief. That the reason this verification is made by deponent and not by libelant is that libelant is a corporation, none of the officers of which is now within this jurisdiction.

That the sources of deponent's information consist of data advanced to him by New York proctors for libelant, based on documents in possession of these New York proctors.

O. D. BATCHELOR.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 6th day of January, A. D. 1943.

(N. P. Seal)

MILDRED M. SMITH,
Notary Public, State of Florida
at Large.

My Commission expires: February 19, 1944."

7 On the 6th day of January, 1943, STIPULA-TION FOR LIBELANT'S COSTS was filed, in words and figures following:

"United States District Court, Southern District of Florida:

Stipulation for libelant's costs, entered into pursuant to the rules and practice of this Court.

Whereas, a libel will be filed in this Court on or about the 6th day of January, 1943, by The American Sugar Refining Company, a New Jersey corporation, against barge "Anaconda", tug "Hercules", Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and Atwacoal Transportation Company, for the reasons and causes in said libel mentioned; and praying that process may issue against said respondents, and the said libelant and Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland, stipulator, parties hereto, hereby consenting and agreeing that in case costs are awarded against the said libelant or said stipulator, the decree therefor not exceeding the sum of Three Hundred and Fifty (\$350.00) Dollars, may be entered against them and each of them, and thereupon execution may issue against their, and each of their, goods, chattels, lands and tenements, or other real estate.

Now, therefore, it is hereby stipulated and agreed for the benefit of whom it may concern, that the libelant herein and the stipulator undersigned, Fidelity and Deposit Company of Maryland, having its principal place of business in the City of Baltimore and State of Maryland, qualified to do business in the State of Florida, shall be, and each of them is hereby bound in the sum of Three Hundred and Fifty (\$350.00) Dollars, conditioned that they shall pay all costs and expenses which shall be awarded against the said libelant and/or stipulator undersigned, or any one of them, by decree of this Court, and in case of appeal, by any Appellate Court.

THE AMERICAN SUGAR RE-FINING COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation, By O. D. BATCHELOR, Agent and Proctor.

> FIDELITY AND DEPOSIT COMPANY OF MARYLAND, (Corp. Seal)

By J. J. WICKER, Stipulator and Att'y-in-Fact. Taken and approved before me this 6th day of January, 1943.

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS, Clerk U. S. Dist. Court, By EARLE F. SPRIGG, Deputy Clerk.

9 On the 6th day of January, 1943, ORDER FOR PROCESS was filed, the same being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

(Title Omitted.)

"The Libel filed herein having been duly considered and it appearing that Stipulation for costs has been filed,

It is Ordered that process of citation, monition and attachment do issue herein according to law.

Done and Ordered at Miami, this, 6th day of January, 1943.

JOHN W. HOLLAND,
Judge U. S. District Court,
Southern District of Florida."

On the 7th day of January, 1943, REQUEST FOR DIS-MISSAL was filed, the same being in words and figures as follows, to-wit:

(Title Omitted.)

"To the Honorable John W. Holland, United States District Judge:

Please sign the Order submitted herewith for dismissal of the above case as to the tug "Hercules" and Atwacoal Transportation Company its alleged owner.

BIGHAM, ENGLAR, JONES & HOUSTON and BATCHELOR & DYER,
By O. D. BATCHELOR,
Proctors for Libelant."

On the 7th day of January, 1943, an ORDER OF THE COURT was filed, same being in words and figures following:

(Title Omitted.)

"In conformity with the petition of libelant in this cause,

It is hereby Ordered that this cause be and it hereby is dismissed as to the tug "Hercules" and Atwacoal Transportation Company, its alleged owner, and said tug is hereby Ordered released from the attachment.

Done and Ordered at Miami, Florida, this 7th day of January, A. D. 1943.

JOHN W. HOLLAND, U. S. District Judge."

On the 9th day of January, 1943, NOTICE OF SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND EXCEPTION TO JURISDICITION was filed, same being in words and figures following:

(Title Omitted.)

"Sirs:

Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., by its proctor, Cody

Fowler, hereby appears in this suit specially and solely for the purpose of contesting the jurisdiction of this Court and for no other purpose.

Said Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., appearing specially, hereby excepts to the jurisdiction of this Court, the said Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., being a corporation existing under and by virtue of the State of Virginia, with no office, place of business or agent within the State of Florida; that the said charter party referred to in paragraph Eighth of said libel, the same being the basis for the purported claim for damages upon which said libel is based and paragraph or clause designated 15 therein among other things states:

'Fifteenth: Any and all differences and disputes of whatsoever nature arising out of this charter shall be put to arbitration at the final place of discharge unless the parties hereto otherwise agree pursuant to the provisions of the United States Arbitration Act (Title 9, of U. S. C., Chapter 213 of the Act of Feb. 12, 1925, 43 Stat. 833) except that the provision of Section 8 thereof shall not apply to any arbitration thereunder.'

(Copy of said charter party will be produced to this Honorable Court upon presentation of this Special Appearance.)

That the sole basis for the filing of the libel in this action and the issuance of the attachment thereunder is based upon paragraph Eighth of Title 9, U. S. C., same being U. S. Arbitration Act, which the said parties to said charter party specifically eliminated, therefore, any benefits or rights under said section is not available to the libelant, the sole purpose of said section 8 being to give the aggrieved party the benefit of jurisdiction in rem and the benefit of security obtained by attachment, which under

said paragraph of clause 15 of said charter party is not available to libelant.

Wherefore, the libelant has no right to any benefits under the libel filed herein, the Court has no jurisdiction of the Barge 'Anaconda' nor of Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and said cause should be dismissed, said attachment dissolved, and said Barge 'Anaconda' restored to the Master and owner thereof.

CODY FOWLER,
Proctor for Smith-Rowland
Company, Inc.

State of Florida, County of Dade.

Before me, the undersigned authority, personally appeared Cody Fowler, who first having been sworn, deposes and says that he is proctor for the Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and authorized to file the above and foregoing Special Appearance and make this affidavit; that the facts set forth in the above affidavit are true according to his best information and belief after investigation and communication with Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and their agents, and that there is no officer or agent in the State of Florida of said Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., qualified to make this affidavit.

CODY FOWLER.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 8th day of January, 1943.

(N. P. Seal)

MARY GIVENS,

Notary Public, State of Florida
at Large.

My Comm. expires 2/11/44."

On the 9th day of January, 1943, an ORDER OF
THE COURT was filed, same being in words and
figures following:

(Title Omitted.)

"It appearing to the Court that Special Appearance has been filed herein by Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and the same should be disposed of forthwith, it is, therefore,

Ordered that said Special Appearance be presented for argument and disposition before me at my office in the Federal Building, Miami, Florida, at 3:30 P. M., Tuesday, January 12, 1943, together with any other motions which the said Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., through its proctor, may deem it necessary to file, providing substantial copies thereof have been served upon the proctors for the libelant.

It is further Ordered that libelant and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., take due notice of such hearing.

Done and Ordered at Miami, Florida, this the 9th day of January, 1943.

JOHN W. HOLLAND, U. S. District Judge."

On the 11th day of January, 1943, PROOF OF PUBLICATION was filed in words and figures as follows:

"Miami Review and Daily Record.

Published Daily Except Sunday.

Miami, Dade County, Florida.

State of Florida, County of Dade.

Before the undersigned authority personally appeared W. J. T. Long, who on oath says that he is the business manager of the Miami Review and Daily Record, a daily (except Sunday) newspaper published at Miami in Dade County, Florida; that the attached copy of advertisement, being a legal Advertisement or Notice in the matter of

The American Sugar Refining Co.

VR.

Barge 'Anconda'

in the U. S. District Court, was published in said newspaper in the issues of Jan. 8, 1943, Ct. No. 355-M-Adm.

Affiant further says that the said Miami Review and Daily Record is a newspaper published at Miami, in said Dade County, Florida, and that the said newspaper has heretofore been continuously published in said Dade County, Florida, each day (except Sunday) and has been entered as second class mail matter at the post office in Miami, in said Dade County, Florida, for a period of one year next preceding the first publication of the attached copy of advertisement; and affiant further says that he has neither paid nor promised any person, firm or corporation any discount, rebate, commission or refund for the purpose of securing this advertisement for publication in said newspaper.

W. J. T. LONG.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 8 day of January, A. D. 1943.

RUTH EBMEIER,

(Seal)

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large.

My Commission expires June 12, 1943."

The United States of America, Southern District of Florida. Whereas, on the 6th day of January, 1943, The American Sugar Refining Company, a New Jersey corporation, filed its libel in the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida against the Barge "Anaconda", in a cause of Contract and Cargo Damage Civil and Maritime. And Whereas, by virtue of process in due form of law to me directed, returnable on the 21st day of January, 1943, I have seized and taken the said Barge "Anaconda", and have her in my custody. Notice is Hereby Given, that a District Court will be held in the United States Court Room, in the City of Miami, Florida, on the 21st day of January, 1943, for the trial of said premises and the owner or owners, and all persons who may have or claim any interest, are hereby cited to be and appear at the time and place aforesaid, to show cause, if any they have, why a final decree should not pass as prayed. Chester S. Dishong, U. S. Marshal.

On the 12th day of January, 1943, ATTACH-MENT was filed in words and figures as follows:

District Court of the United States, Southern District of Florida, In Admiralty.

#355-M-Adm.

The President of the United States of America.

To the Marshal of the Southern District of Florida, Greeting:

You Are Hereby Commanded forthwith to seize and take into your custody the tug "Hercules" now at the port of Port Everglades, Florida, or wherever the same may be found within said District, and safely to keep the

said tug "Hercules" and have the same before this Court at Miami, in said District, on the 21st day of January, A. D. 1943, to answer to the Libel of The American Sugar Refining Company, a corporation, for cargo loss and damage in the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars, and how you execute this Writ make known by your return hereof, hereupon indorsed at Miami, in said District, on or before the said 21st day of January, A. D. 1943.

Witness, The Honorable John W. Holland, Judge of said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Miami, this 6th day of January, A. D. 1943.

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS, Clerk,

(Ct. Seal)

By ALBERT E. CALL, Deputy Clerk.

Return of the United States Marshal for the Southern
District of Florida.

Received this writ at Miami, Florida, January 6, 1943, and executed it at Port Everglades, Florida, January 6, 1943, by exhibiting this original writ to Billy Jackson, Actoring Captain, and taking into my custody the within named Tug Hercules, and placing it in charge of Reed Bryan, as Custodian at a compensation of \$3.00 per day, dockage to be \$1.49 per day.

Fee 2.00 Exp. 1.98 Total 3.98

U. S. Marshal,
By ALBERT F. HUNT,
Deputy U. S. Marshal.

On the 12th day of January, 1943, MONITION was filed, in words and figures as follows:

District Court of the United States, Southern District of Florida.

#355-M-Adm.

The President of the United States.

To Chester S. Dishong, Esq., the Marshal of the United States for the Southern District of Florida—Greeting: Whereas, on the 6th day of January, A. D. 1943, The American Sugar Refining Company, a corporation, by its Proctor, O. D. Batchelor, Esq., filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court Complaint and Libel against the tug "Hercules" in a cause of contract and cargo damage civil and maritime, alleging in substance that part of cargo was lost, and that which was not lost was seriously injured and damaged through contact with sea water and/or other substances to libelant unknown.

Wherefore, the said libelant pray that the usual process of attachment may issue against the said tug "Hercules" that monition may issue, citing all parties having or claiming any interest or property in said tug "Hercules" to appear and answer upon oath, all and singular, the matters aforesaid; and that this Court will be pleased to decree to the libelant the sum of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,-000.00) Dollars for services in said cause, and that the said tug "Hercules" may be condemned and sold, if necessary, to pay said \$100,000.00 with costs, charges, and expenses, and that libelant may have such other and further relief in the premises as in law and justice he may be entitled to receive. And, whereas, the Judge of said Court has ordered that attachment and monition be issued as prayed, returnable on Thursday, the 21st day of January, A. D. 1943.

Now, Therefore, you are hereby commanded forthwith to cite and admonish all persons whomsoever having any right, title, claim, or interest in or to the said tug "Hercules" to appear at an Admiralty Session of said Court, to be held in the rooms of said Court at Miami, in said District, on Thursday, the 21st day of January, A. D. 1943, at 10:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to show cause, if any they have, why said sum should not be decreed according to the prayer of the libelant, and to attend upon every session of said Court, from that time held, until a final decree shall be rendered in the premises.

And this you are required to do by serving on the Master, Agent, or Owner of said vessel a true copy hereof, and by publishing notice once in the Miami Review and Daily Record, a newspaper published in said District.

And how you shall have executed this precept, make known to this Court by a return hereof on or before the 21st day of January, 1943, aforesaid, with your certificate of execution hereof written.

Witness, the Hon. John W. Holland, Judge of said Court at Miami in said District, this 6th day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and forty-three, and of the Independence of the United States the hundred and sixty-seven.

(Ct. Seal)

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS,
Clerk, U. S. District Court,
Southern District of Florida,

ALBERT E. CALL, Deputy Clerk.

Return of the United States Marshal for the Southern District of Florida. Received this writ at Miami, Florida, January 6, 1943, and executed it at Fort Everglades, Florida, January 6, 1943, by exhibiting this original writ and delivering a true copy hereof to Billy Jackson, Acting Captain of Tug Hercules.

Fee 2.00
Proclamation . .30

Total 2.30

CHESTER S. DISHONG,
U. S. Marshal,
By ALBERT F. HUNT,
Deputy U. S. Marshal."

On the 12th day of January, 1943, WRIT OF RESTITUTION was filed, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

"The President of the United States of America.

To the Marshal of the Southern District of Florida, Greeting:

Whereas, In a certain cause lately pending in the abovestyled Court, on behalf of The American Sugar Refining Company, a corporation, against Tug 'Hercules' and Atwacoal Transportation Company by virtue of a Writ of Attachment issued out of said Court in said cause certain property, to-wit: The vessel Tug 'Hercules' and Atwacoal Transportation Company has been seized by you and is now in your possession; and, Whereas, said cause has terminated and the claimant (owner) (agent of the owner) thereof is now entitled to possession of the said property, now these presents are to command you, the said Marshal, to relinquish the possession of the said property from whom seized upon receiving into your hand your expenses for the care and custody of the said property.

Hereof fail not; and how you have executed this writ make known by your return indorsed hereupon.

Witness, The Honorable John W. Holland, Judge of said Court, and the seal thereof, at Miami, Fla., in said District, this 7th day of January, A. D. 1943.

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS, Clerk,

(Ct. Seal)

By ANNA M. FITZSIMMONS, Deputy Clerk.

Return of the United States Marshal for the Southern District of Florida.

Received this writ at Miami, Florida, January 7, 1943, and executed it at Miami, Florida, January 7, 1943, by exhibiting this original writ to O. D. Batchelor, Proctor for libelant, and releasing the within named vessel into his custody.

Fee \$2.00 Mileage06

\$2.06

CHESTER S. DISHONG,
United States Marshal,
By AL L. GATES,
Deputy."

On the 12th day of January, 1943, ATTACHMENT was filed in words and figures as follows:

District Court of the United States, Southern District of Florida, In Admiralty.

#355-M-Adm.

The President of the United States of America.

To the Marshal of the Southern District of Florida, Greeting:

You Are Hereby Commanded forthwith to seize and take into your custody the barge "Anaconda" now at the port of Port Everglades, Florida, or wherever the same may be found within said District, and safely to keep the said barge "Anaconda" and have the same before this Court at Miami, in said District, on the 21st day of January, A. D. 1943, to answer to the Libel of The American Sugar Refining Company, a corporation, for cargo loss and damage in the sum of One Hundred Thousand Dollars, and how you execute this Writ make known by your return hereof, hereupon indorsed at Miami, in said District, on or before the said 21st day of January, A. D. 1943.

Witness, The Honorable John W. Holland, Judge of said Court, and the Seal thereof, at Miami, this 6th day of January, A. D. 1943.

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS, Clerk,

(Ct. Seal)

By ALBERT E. CALL, Deputy Clerk.

Return of the United States Marshal for the Southern District of Florida.

Received this writ at Miami, Florida, January 6, 1943, and executed it at Port Everglades, Florida, January 6, 1943, by exhibiting this original writ to John Colvin, and taking into my custody the within named Barge "Anaconda", and placing it in charge of Reed Bryan as custodian at a compensation of \$3.00 per day, dockage charges at rate of \$22.17 per day.

Fee 2.00 Exp. 2.00

CHESTER S. DISHONG,
U. S. Marshal,
By ALBERT F. HUNT.
Deputy U. S. Marshal.

On the 12th day of January, 1943, Monition was filed, in words and figures as follows:

District Court of the United States, Southern District of Florida.

#355-M-Adm.

The President of the United States.

To Chester S. Dishong, Esq., the Marshal of the United States for the Southern District of Florida—Greeting: Whereas, on the 6th day of January, A. D. 1943, The American Sugar Refining Company, a corporation, by its Proctor, O. D. Batchelor, Esq., filed in the office of the Clerk of said Court Complaint and Libel against the barge "Anaconda" in a cause of contract and cargo damage civil

and maritime, alleging in substance that part of cargo was lost, and that which was not lost was seriously injured and damaged through contact with sea water and/or other substances to libelant unknown.

Wherefore, the said libelant pray that the usual process of attachment may issue against the said barge "Anaconda" that monition may issue, citing all parties having or claiming any interest or property in said barge "Anaconda" to appear and answer upon oath, all and singular, the matters aforesaid; and that this Court will be pleased to decree to the libelant the sum of One Hundred Thousand (\$100,-000.00) Dollars for services in said cause, and that the said barge "Anaconda" may be condemned and sold, if necessary, to pay said \$100,000.00 with costs, charges, and expenses, and that libelant may have such other and further relief in the premises as in law and justice he may be entitled to receive. And, whereas, the Judge of said Court has ordered that attachment and monition be issued as prayed, returnable on Thursday, the 21st day of January, A. D. 1943.

Now, Therefore, you are hereby commanded forthwith to cite and admonish all persons whomsoever having any right, title, claim, or interest in or to the said barge "Anaconda" to appear at an Admiralty Session of said Court, to be held in the rooms of said Court at Miami, in said District, on Thursday, the 21st day of January, A. D. 1943, at 10:30 o'clock in the forenoon of that day, to show cause, if any they have, why said sum should not be decreed according to the prayer of the libelant, and to attend upon every session of said Court, from that time held, until a final decree shall be rendered in the premises.

And this you are required to do by serving on the Master, Agent, or Owner of said vessel a true copy hereof, and by publishing notice once in the Miami Review and Daily Record, a newspaper published in said District.

And how you shall have executed this precept, make known to this Court by a return hereof on or before the 21st day of January, 1943, aforesaid, with your certificate of execution hereof written.

Witness, the Hon. John W. Holland, Judge of said Court at Miani in said District, this 6th day of January, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and forty-three, and of the Independence of the United States the hundred and sixty-seven.

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS, Clerk, U. S. District Court, Southern District of Florida.

(Ct. Seal)

ALBERT E. CALL, Deputy Clerk.

Return of the United States Marshal for the Southern

District of Florida.

Received this writ at Miami, Florida, January 6, 1943, and executed it at Fort Everglades, Florida, January 6, 1943, by exhibiting this original writ and delivering a true copy hereof to John Colvin, Captain of the within named vessel, Barge Anaconda, and by publishing Monition time in the, a daily newspaper published at Miami, in the Southern District of Florida, January, 1943.

Fee 2.00 Proclamation .. .30

Total 2.30

CHESTER S. DISHONG,
U. S. Marshal,
By ALBERT F. HUNT,
Deputy U. S. Marshal.

18 On the 14th day of January, 1943, this Court's FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW was filed, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

"The barge 'Anaconda' is under libel filed January 6, 1943, by The American Sugar Refining Company against the said barge and Atwacoal Transportation Company, to a cause of contract and cargo damage, civil and maritime. Atwacoal Transportation Company appears specially and contests the jurisdiction of the Court, asserting that said respondent is a corporation under the laws of the State of Virginia, with no office, place of business, or agent, within the State of Florida, and that the charter party between the libelant and said respondent contains a clause as follows:

'Fifteenth: Any and all differences and disputes of whatsoever nature arising out of this charter shall be put to arbitration at the final place of discharge unless the parties hereto otherwise agree pursuant to the provisions of the United States Arbitration Act (Title 9, of U. S. C. A., Chapter 213 of the Act of Feb. 12, 1952, 43 Stat. 833) except that the provisions of Section 8 thereof shall not apply to any arbitration thereunder.

Respondent also asserts that the sole basis for the filing of the libel in this action, and the issuance of the attachment thereunder, was based upon 9 U.S.C.A. 8, same being the United States Arbitration Act, which the parties specifically eliminated. Respondent concludes with the assertion that any benefits or rights under said Section are not available to the libelant, the sole purpose of said Section being to give the aggrieved party the benefit of jurisdiction in rem, and the benefit of security obtained by attachment,

which under said Clause 15 of the charter party is not available to the libelant. Said respondent concludes that the libelant has no right to any beenfits under the libel in this case, that the Court has no jurisdiction of the barge Anaconda, nor of Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., and that the cause should be dismissed, the attachment dissolved, and the Anaconda restored to the master and owner.

The special appearance was treated as a motion to dismiss, and on notice the cause was heard on January 12, 1943. At the hearing, which was attended by proctors for the libelant and the respondent, photostatic copies of the charter party and of the bill of lading were introduced in evidence, and the case submitted.

Findings of Fact.

The charter party was made and concluded December 9, 1942, between Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., owner of the barge Anaconda and The American Sugar Refining Company, charterer. The charter party contains Clause 15 as follows:

Fifteenth. Any and all differences and disputes of whatsoever nature arising out of this charter party shall be put to arbitration at the final place of discharge unless the parties hereto otherwise agree pursuant to the provisions of the United States Arbitration Act (Title 9 of U. S. C., Chapter 213 of the Act of February 12, 1952, 43 Stat. 833) except that the provisions of Section 8 thereof shall not apply to any arbitration hereunder. The decision of any two of the three on any point or points shall be final. Either party hereto may for such arbitration by service upon any other officer of the other, wherever he may be found, of a written notice specifying the name and address of the arbitrator chosen by the first moving party and a brief description of the disputes or differences which such

party desires to put to arbitration. If the other party shall not, by notice served upon an officer of the first moving party within twenty days of the service of such first notice, appoint its arbitrator to arbitrate the dispute or differences specified, then the first moving party shall have the right without further notice to appoint a second arbitrator, who shall be a disinterested person, with precisely the same force and effect as if said second arbitrator had been appointed by the other party. In the event that the two arbitrators fail to appoint a third arbitrator within twenty days of the appointment of the second arbitrator, either arbitrator may apply to a Judge or any Court of maritime jurisdiction in the city above mentioned for the appointment of a third arbitrator, and the appointment of such arbitrator by such Judge on such application shall have precisely the same force and effect as if such arbitrator had been appointed by the two arbitrators. Until such time as the arbitrators finally close the hearings either party shall have the right by written notice served on the arbitrators and on an officer of the other party to specify further disputes or differences under this Charter for hearing and determination. Awards made in pursuance to this Clause may include costs, including a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees, and judgment may be entered upon any award made hereunder in any Court having jurisdiction in the premises.'

Bill of lading duly issued December 24, 1942, acknowledging receipt for shipment of a certain number of bags of cane sugar at Havana, Cuba, from The Cuban American Sugar Company, shipper, to The American Sugar Refining Company, consignee, with the destination of port of discharge from the ship as Port Everglades, Florida.

Conclusions of Law.

I first approach the case from an abstract standpoint. The Arbitration Act of February 12, 1925, gave validity, with incident enforceability, to arbitration agreements with reference to maritime transactions or those involving interstate commerce. Arbitration encroaches upon the functions of the Courts in the settlement of controversy, but there is a studied effort in the Arbitration Act to provide for the enforceability in designated tribunals of the decisions reached by Arbitration boards. Where one party to a controversy institutes a Court action, and the adversary brings to the attention of the Court the fact that arbitration had been agreed to between the disagreeing parties, provision is made for the stay of such Court action awaiting the determination of the arbitrators. Likewise, provision is made for action by the Courts in appointing an arbitrator when the organization of the arbitration board is being brought about by the failure, neglect, or the refusal of one of the parties. By Section 8 there is preserved the recognized right of a proposed libelant to proceed with libel to obtain the seizure of the vessel or other property of the alleged offending party. By written agreement members to a charter party may agree for arbitration of their differences, and may further agree to forego the rights preserved by Section 8 during the period of arbitration. Thereby the parties to the charter party do not attempt to do violence to Courts of admiralty in the exercise of jurisdiction over parties and subject matter. Section 8 preserves the right of obtaining security for the enforcement of the arbitration award, and if parties to a written agreement see occasion to waive that right, and by solemn agreement provide that no libel is to be filed, and no vessel or other property seized prior to the award of the arbitration board, and at the same time they provide that the arbitration award may be enforced in a specified manner is securing the enforcement process of the Courts, then, in that event, it seems perfectly clear that the parties have done no violence to, and have not disturbed recognized jurisdiction of, Courts of Admiralty.

Next I proceed to examine the charter party, and apply this principle of law in the abstract to this case now presented. It is very clear from the charter party that the parties agreed that their differences or disputes should be put to arbitration pursuant to the Arbitration Act, with a very definite exception as to provisions of Section 8 of the Act. Libelant contends that this provision is not pertinent here because there is at this time in process of operation no arbitration proceedings. But the determining factor is not at this time whether there is any pending arbitration, but on the other hand the parties have agreed that if the right to arbitrate exists, then in that event Section 8 is not to apply. The charter party continues with a clear provision for the appointment of an arbitrator, and application to a Judge of any Court of maritime jurisdiction in the city at the final place of discharge of the cargo. And further, the agreement provides that awards made in pursuance of the arbitration may become a judgment in any Court having jurisdiction in the premises. The parties agreed to forego the security that comes from the filing of a libel and the seizure of the vessel or other security, and preserved all the attributes of a Court of Admiralty for the enforcement of the arbitration award.

The libelant strongly contends that with the institution of the libel proceedings, with the seizure of the Anaconda prior to any arbitration proceedings being instituted, that Section 3 applies and that this suit should not be dismissed, and at most that the trial be stayed until the coming in of the arbitration award. An application of Section 3 would destroy the effect of the agreement of the parties.

I hold that the parties to this charter party definately agreed to forego the security that is obtained by the filing of a libel; they definitely agreed to forego that privilege during arbitration, and expressly made provision for the

full recognition of admiralty jurisdiction in the enforcement of the arbitration award. The mere fact that one of the parties filed the libel prior to the appointment of any or all of the arbitrators is not to interfere with the agreement of the parties, which is that difference and disputes arising out of the charter party are to be put to arbitration pursuant to the Act, and that the provisions of Section 8 of the Act are not to apply to any arbitration. I construe this clause to include arbitration sought before the filing of a libel as well as arbitration after an attempted effort to litigate by libel proceedings. The libelant has contravened and violated the express provisions of the charter party in filing the libel. A libel improperly filed should be dismissed.

Libelant relies upon certain provisions of the bill of lading. This contention adds no weight to the libelant's position. The agreement set forth in the bill of lading as determining the rights of the parties here should be applied by the arbitrators.

Having arrived at these conclusions, I have this day signed an order dismissing this action.

At Miami, Florida, this 14th day of January, 1943.

JOHN W. HOLLAND,

United States District Judge."

On the 14th day of January, 1943, this Court's ORDER was filed, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

22

"This cause coming on this day to be heard upon the Special Appearance filed herein by Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., contesting the right of the libelant, and assertthe Owner, the shipper or consignee of the cargo, their agents or copressionatives, insufficiency or inadequacy of marks; explosion, bursting of boilers, breakage of shafts, or any latent defect in hull, equipment or machinery; unseaworthings of the Vessel unless caused by want of due diligence on the part of the Owner to make the Vessel seaworths, or to have her properly manned, equipped and supplied; or from any other cause of whatsoever kind arising without the actual fault or privity of the Owner. And neither the Vessel, her Master or Owner, nor the Charterer, shall, unless otherwise in this Charter expressly provided, he responsible for any loss or damage or delay or failure in performing hereunder arising or resulting from: Act of God, act of war; act of public eitemies, pirates, or assailing thieves; arrest or restraint of princes, rulers or people, of seigure under legal process; strike or lockout or stoppage or restraint of labor from whatever cause, either partial or gengral; or riot or civil commotion. The Vessel shall have liberty to sail with or without pilots, to tow or to be towed, to go to the assistance of vessels in distress and to deviate for the purpose of saving life or property or of landing any ill or injured person on board. No exemption afforded to the Charterer under this clause shall diminish its obligations for hire under the other provisions of this Charter.

36

40

41

51

0 :

53

41.2

Third. The Charterer shall provide and furnish a full and complete cargo under deck. 23.000 bags. 5% more

or less, ship's option of sugar in bags of about 330 pounds each tibe Common establishment of the common statement of the comm

the Owner, for the use of said Vessel during the voyage aforesaid:
FREIGHT ON SUGAR IN BAGS, per 100 pounds, gross landed weight as determined by Public Weighers as follows:

To:Port Evergrades: Pwenty-six (26) cents, U. S. Carrency per 100 lbs. 4 plus 67, surcharge

Freight shall be payable as follows. Seventy five per cent (75%) of freight payable in cash on the basis of gross bill of lading weight on arrival of Vessel at discharging berth to the order of Vessel, Owner, Operator or Agent, and on final discharge of cargo any over or short payment to be adjusted on the gross laided weight as determined by Public Weighers' returns, free of discount or interest, at discharging berth. Bills for demurrage and or despatch; discharging and wharfage to be settled at time of final settlement of freight.

If, however, cargo is discharged at a United States port for transhipment to a refinery at another United States port, eighty-five per cent (85%) of the freight shall be payable in cash on the basis of gross bill of lading weight on arrival of vessel at discharging betth at the transhipment port to the order of Vessel, Owner Operator or Agent, and on final delivery of cargo to the refinery any over or short payment shall be adjusted on the gross landed weight as determined by Public Weighers.

Freight to be considered carned on completion of loading and non-returnable Vessel and or cargo lest or not lost, and in the event of loss of Vessel freight is to be paid on gross hill of lading weight.

Fourth.

Fourth.

of 24 hours, weather permitting, Sundays and holidays not excepted, unless Vessel arrives after the field of the working day proceding a Sunday or holiday too late to permit shappers and Vessel's agents to make 64 necessary arrangements for delivery of cargo alongs de Vessel and stovedoring personnel for working on 65 sundays or holidays, shall be allowed to the said Charterer (if vessel is not somer loaded) for loading 66 and shall be continuous; time employed in shifting ports, different anchorages or loading places within the 67 same port of its jurisdiction to count as lay days. It is a loading to begin (unless loading coins mence) soorer) at the beginning of the next working period after Captain reports Vessel ready to receive 62 cargo, whether berthed or not to be a same port of the horizontal layer of the ready for the same fill the shall be breat against the field of the ready for the same fill the shall be breat against the field of Charters and direct to the fill the same fill

If the Vessel arrives at loading port within cancellation period, and there is a strike of stevedores other Vessel's servants in effect at the port, lay days for loading shall begin at first working period afty settlement of strike, unless loading begins sooner.

In the event of a strike or strikes, root or roots or any other unavoidable hindrance which may present the delivery of cargo, and Charterer is unwilling to pay demurrage as proyaled below. Owner reserve the right to despatch the Vessel with such portion of cargo as may then be no heart, or in ballast, if it range has been leaded. In either case Charterer not to be liable for dead freight or demurrage or letter and which is not leaded up to the time of commencement of such himseast. Vissel Owner a today that the proof to withdrawal of the Vessel.

Lay day for distriction of the lagor (that is discharging commine govern at the beginning of the next try parts) after trained reports Verel ready to discharge care, whether in berthau full Sunday to the context of trained very context to the very preceding a Sunday or holder too late the context of the context of the principle of the same time. The working period to Sunday or holder work half be considered as the same time.

ing that under the provisions of the charter party upon which the libel is based the libelant had and has no right to file or maintain this libel, the parties being represented by their respective proctors, and after hearing argument and being fully advised, the Court finds that said Special Appearance should be sustained. It is, therefore,

Ordered, that the libel filed herein be and the same is hereby dismissed and that the attachment heretofore issued herein against the Barge 'Anaconda' be and the same is hereby dissolved and the Marshal of this Court be and he is hereby Ordered to re-deliver possession of the said Barge 'Anaconda' to the custody of the Master or owner thereof.

It is further Ordered that the libelant pay all tosts incurred herein.

Done and Ordered at Miami, Florida, this January 14th, 1943, as of the 12th day of January, 1943.

JOHN W. HOLLAND, U. S. District Judge."

23 On the 19th day of January, 1943, WRIT OF RESTITUTION was filed, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

"The President of the United States of America.

To the Marshal of the Southern District of Florida, Greeting:

Whereas, In a certain cause lately pending in the abovestyled Court, on behalf of American Sugar Refining Company against Barge 'Anaconda' by virtue of a Writ of Attachment issued out of said Court in said cause certain property, to-wit: The vessel Barge 'Anaconda' has been seized by you and is now in your possession; and, Whereas, Said cause has terminated and the claimant (owner) (agent of the owner) thereof is now entitled to possession of the said property, now these presents are to command you, the said Marshal, to relinquish the possession of the said property from whom seized upon receiving into your hand your expenses for the care and custody of the said property.

Hereof fail not; and how you have executed this writ make known by your return indorsed hereupon.

Witness, The Honorable John W. Holland, Judge of said Court, and the seal thereof, at Miami, Fla., in said District, this 14th day of January, A. D. 1943, as of the 12th day of January, 1943.

Clerk.

(Ct. Seal)

By ANNA M. FITZSIMMONS, Deputy Clerk.

Return of the United States Marshal in and for the Southern District of Florida.

Received this writ at Miami, Florida, January 12th, 1943, and executed it at Miami, Florida, on January 12th, 1943, by exhibiting this original writ to C. D. Batchelor of the Law Firm of Batchelor and Dyer, Miami, Florida, as attorneys for Libelant and releasing the within named Barge 'Anaconda' into his custody.

Fee \$2.00 Mileage06

Total ... \$2.06

CHESTER S. DISHONG,
United States Marshal,
By ELIZABETH S. SIMPSON,
Deputy."

-

ATWACOAL TRANSPORTATION COMPANY

Telephone Fall River 7-9461

150 SOUTH MAIN STREET FALL RIVER, MASS.

U. S. ATLANTIC COAST, GULF, AND CARRESAN TRADES

Marriary from the Shipper hereinafter named, the goods or packages said to contain goods hereinafter mentioned, in apparent good order and condition takes otherwise indicated in this bill of lading, to be transported subject to all the terms of this bill of lading with liberty to preced via any port or part within the scope of the voyage described herein, to the port of discharge or so near thereto as the vascel can always safely get and lowe, always aftest at al single and conditions of water and weather, and there to be delivered or transhipped on payment of the charges thereon. If the goods is whether in part to shall not from the vessel named herein for any cause, the Carrier shall have liberty to forward them under the terms of this bill of lading on the next condition.

It is agreed that the custody and carriage of the goods are subject to the following terms on the fact and reverse hereof which shall googse the spintiess whatsoever they may be, between the shipper, consignes, and the Carrier, Master and vessel in every contingency, whereasever and whomsever contribute, and also in the event of deviation, or of unsequently, and none of the vessel at the time of leading or inception of the veryage or subsequently, and none of the terms of the bill of lading shall be deemed to have been waived by the Carrier unless by express waiver signed by a duly authorized agent of the Carrier:

If consigned to Shipper's Order Port of Discharge from Ship;	2 1, 1	(Without Liability to carrier, ese Clause II	(befreet)				
(If goods to be transhipped at port of discharge): POPT EPIGLAPES. 71.6. (Bee Clause 10 hereof): THE SCOPE OF THE VOYAGE IS DESCRIBED IN CLAUSE 3 HEREOF PARTICULARS FURNISHED BY SHIPPER OF GOODS							
MAREN AND NUMBERS	MANAGE OF STREET OF STREET	*******************************			3 10 00		
DELICIAS							
	18,760	GROSS WEIGHT: 4,54 TARE: RET WEIGHT: 4,54	Print Control	•			
Bags short	slup ped.	oons not werd	MALE				

•	PACKAGES		-	1
-	1			
	'	Frank Land Land	The state of the s	The second of
DELICIAS				11 100
PETITION				THE WAY SET
			0 4.	- 3. A. S. C.
	- 18,760	BAGE OF CURAN CENTED WALL CARE STEAR		1
	, 20, 100			Contract of the Contract of th
		GROSS WEIGHT: 4,869,888 American	Phone .	1000
	1 1 1 121	ZANDA		
				4 18 18 E
		HAT WEIGHT: 4.848.100		
				12 X 300 7 A
			and the sales	Agricultural Company
	3"		1	
		Man		The little of
Bags short	shipped.	onny wat Merotiable		2000
	, ,	מניווווחונג	1	100
		"OT MINITAL	****	1. W. F. F.
		- cun part lange.	1 1 2	
		Ulini /		
				Service Services
	**			
	4 1 1			
			1	140000000000000000000000000000000000000
				1000
	11.	"This decurrent contains information affecting the national debase of the	Alexander of the	
		United States within, the meaning of the Explanage Act, 50 tl. S. C., 32 and 12 as amended. Its transpossion on the revolution of the distants in any	4	
		The statement of the statement of the section of the desirate to see		THE STATE OF THE S
	4 8 7	(Spl.) C. S. LAND	1	A STATE OF THE STA
		a Disting Real of		and was a
2.	in	WAR SHIPPING ADMINISTRATION	The state of the s	
2.7	Short in disp		38 13	1
			13	220
If on board	1 de vered			1971 (1914) 1915
		24 DIC 1040	BRIGINAL	PIGNET &
		24 DIC 1942	2 64 200	200
	The second	the second secon		
		And the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is the second section in the second section in the second section is section in the second section in the second section is section in the second section in the second section is section in the section in the section in the section is section in the section in the section is section in the section in the section in the section is section in the section in the section in the section is section in the section in the section in the section is section in the section in the section in the section is section in the section in the section in the section is section in the secti	A STREET STREET	B distance Artist
@	per 100 lbs.	IN ACCUPATION AND BALL OF PAR	The section of	2.7.
		3		
	per 2240 lbs.	The state of the s		
ft. in. @	.per cub. ft			
			- C	
R				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***	ATWACDAL TRANS	American mark in	2
		Samuel Market Ma	The state of the s	W - A .
		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	7310	A. S. C
*FREIGHT TO BE PRE	PAID-TO COLL	ET	The same of	通过了到时
		S DEN CONTRACTOR	PARTY AND AND AND	是 中 电
rem out words not applicable.)		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		
	Te	and Mil of Laboration of the l	建筑	
			· 多种 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A

This latti of lating shall have effect subject to the provisions of the Carriage seeds by feet Ad of the United States of America, approved April 16, 1916, in shall be deemed to be incorporated herein, and nothing herein contained shall deemed a corrector by the Carrier of any of its rights or immunities or an roasy of any of its responsibilities or inhibities under said Act. The provisions led in mid Act (scopy as may be otherwise specifically provided herein) shall ours before the goods are loaded on and after they are discharged from the sel and threespecit the eatire time the goods are in the custody of the Carrier. Carrier shall not be lished in any capacity whatsoever for any delay, notivery or miscallivery, or loss of or damage to the goods occurring while the goods not in the actual custody of the Carrier.

(a) The Carrier shall be santitled to the full benefit of and right to all limits.

(a) The Carrier shall be entitled to the full benefit of, and right to, all limitations of, or examptions from liability authorised by any provisions of Section 4381 to 1280 of the Revised Statutes of the United States and amendments thereto and of any other grevisions of the laws of the United States or of any other country whose have shall apply.

- 2. The contract verage contemplates carriage of the goods herein described by haras. Either or other craft, whether or not self propelled and is this bill of lading the word "vessel" shall include any substituted vessel, and any craft, lighter or other means of occurrency that it is contract; the word "Carrier" shall include the vessel; the tup performance of this contract; the word "Carrier" shall include the vessel; the tup or other towing basel used thereby, her owner, master, operator, demise charver, and if bound hereby any time charters, and any substituted carrier, whether the owner, operator, harterer, or master shall be acting as carrier or baller; the word "whitpper" shall clude the person named as such in this bill of lading and the person for whose account the goods are shipped; the word "consignes" shall include the holder of the bill of lading, properly endorsed, and the receiver shall include the holder of the word "charges" shall include freight and all expenses and money obligations incurred and payable by the goods, the consignes, or any of their.
- 3. The scope of toyage herein contracted for shad include usual or customary or advertised ports of only whether hand in this contract or not, also ports in or out of the advertised geographical, usual or ordinary route of activer, even though in proceeding thereto into vessal may sail or be town peyond, the port of discussive or in a direction contrary thereto or return to the original part, or operat from the or in a direction contrary thereto or return to the original part, or depart from the direct or sustomary founce, and includes an causis, stratts and elien waters. The vessel may call at any port for the purposes of the current voyage or of a prior or subsequent voyage. The vessel may omit calling at any port or ports whether sengulated or not, and may sail at the same part more than once may for matters occurring before heading the goods, known or unknown at the time of such loading the goods, known or unknown at the time of such loading and matters occurring after such loading, either with or without the goods is passengers in board, and before or after proceeding toward, the port of descoarge, adjust companies, dry dock, with or without cargo aboard go on ways of he repair value, shift passes, and derive of after proceeding towards the port of calculated, and of the passes of a real variables of the passes of th
- 4. In or situation whatevery and wherevover occurring and whether existing or anticipated before commencement of or during the carrier or the Manter in likely to give rise to risk or express an including of the Carrier or the Manter in likely to give rise to risk or express the risk of express sections of the Carrier or the Manter in likely to give rise to risk or express part of the manter of the Manter in likely to give rise to risk or express part of the manter of the comments of the manter of the 4. In any situation whatevever and whereneever occurring and whether existing entitle to a reasonable extra competestion

emitte to a remembable extra competention

in the thurster. Manufacture of the version of the charges desination delivery or either a several state of the charges desination delivery or either as several state of the charge of the partition of the charge of the charge

In addition to all other liberties herein the throat so il have the right In addition to all edges increase an extension in the series of the hold univery or result; to deposit up us , said the series with any of surrender or dispose of the south in an arrivage with any of agreement imposed upon or southed from the partie by may a serious ment increased or any person perpetting to all eath the said at the control of southern ment increased or any person perpetting to all eath to set authority of southern LIFE BUR BU

The carriage by any transhipping or forwarding carrier and all transhipment or forwarding shall be subject to all the terms whatsoever in the regular form of bill of lading, freight note, contract or other shipping document used at the time by such carrier, whether issued for the goods or not, and year though such terms may be less favorable to the shipper or consigned than the terms of this bill of lading and may contain more extringent requirements as to notice of claim or commencement of unit and may agent the consistent from the time to the shipper of units and may agent the consistent from the time. and may contain more stringest requirements as to notice of claim or commencement of suit and may exempt the on-carrier from hability for negligence. The shipper expressity authorises the Carrier to arrange with any such franchipping or forwarding carrier that the lowest valuation of the goods or limitation of liability contained in the bill of lading or shipping do unment of such carrier shis apply even though lower than the valuation or limitation herein, provided that the shipper shall not be compelled to pay a rate higher than that applicable to the valuation contained in such build of lading. be compelled to pay a rate nigner than that applicable to the valuation of an authorized bill of lading. Pending or during transhipment the goods may be stored ashore or anoat at their risk and expense and the Carrier shall not be limble for detention.

11. The port authorities are hereby authorised to grant a general order for 11. The port authorities are hereby authorised to grant a general order for discharging immediately upon a rival of the vessel and the Carrier without giving notice either of sarrival or discharge may discharge the goods directly they come to and, at or onto any wharf, craft or place that the Carrier may select and continuously Sunday's and holidays included, at all such hours by day or by night as the Carrier may acterming no matter what the staje of the weather or custom of the port may be. The Carrier shall not be liable in any respect whatsoever, if heat of safetypes then or results of the continuous of the continuous of the carrier shall not be liable in any respect whatsoever, if heat of or be permitted to lie where landed, but always at the axienne and risk of ly goods. The responsibility of the Currier in any espacity shall altogether as and the goods shall be considered to be delivered and at their own risk and extension respect when taken into the custody of rustoms or other althorities the adviser shall not be required to give any notification or dispusition at the small. The carry or rangings shall be sellivered and received shall be small be sellivered and received shall be made always antely afford the word and dispusion of the rust lighterage, if any shall be at the class and expense of the rusk?

lighterage, if any, whall be ut the cisk and expense of the cark?

12 The Carrier shall not be judde for failure to deliver in accompanies who marks shall have been carly and durably stands the antipper before, delighent upon the goods or lackages to lede, these than two lackage high, together with name of the just of the best that cannot be identified as to marks or numbers, angle sweepings and any structured goods but otherwise accomplet for which be about to any apparent shurings, how if weight or damage. I have it designed by a received with the various possessions of weight or damage. I have if damage has a farmed by a wood without subartice of the goods to the standard of the points.

shipments

The goods which the made for all of the end of the end of the conditioning A. the words or packages and gathering of the end of the

the Master may retain the cargo on board onto the return to a with suce time of the Carrier or the Master thinks advisable and discharge the goods at any positive wintscover as herein provided, or the Carrier or the Master may discharge and forward the goods by any means, rail, water, land, or air at the fish and expense of the goods of the foods are carrier or the Master is not required to give historia of discharge of the goods of the foods are done of the goods of the forwarding thereof as herein provided. When the goods are done charged from the whele, as herein provides, they small be at this own first own, fish and expense, such discharge shall constitute outsplute delivery and performance under this contract and the Carrier shall be freed from any further responsible with a second shall constitute outsplute delivery and performance under this contract and the Carrier shall be freed from any further responsible with a second shall be at the contract and the Carrier shall be freed from any further responsible with a second shall be at the contract and the carrier and vessel of any matter of a second shall be at the contract of the carrier shall be at the contract of the carrier destination delivery or otherwise indisances, ports of a second shall be at carrier and the same of the authority of such severiments at or any separation the same at any second shall be at formation. Fully, or other disc visions and the state of the war resk instruments of the goods in accordance with such or visions at the carrier shall have the disc vision of the goods in accordance with such a shall be a functional without convolutional way to the above the such as a state of the same and any second shall be a function of the goods in accordance with any three which are carrier by any governments or surface and coarges so incurred when a special shall be a function of the goods in accordance with any three which are carrier by any government or expense and coarges so incurred when a special shall be said to a surface of the plac

7 In respect of goods carried on deck-sall risks of loss or damage by perits

The respect of goods carried on decaral risks of loss or damage by perist charens in such carriage shall be borne by the shipper or the anisogne, but it all other respects the controly and carriage of such goods such to government the telescope of this bit of lading and the provisions stated to said carriage of clouds by Sea Act notwithstanding Sec 1 (c) thereof the carriage of conditions in the anisogness of the said carriage of clouds by Sea Act notwithstanding Sec 1 (c) thereof the carriage of the describes the provisions of the said carriage of conditions in the search describes the provision of the goods herein describes the provision of the said of carriage shall be butter by the animal of the set of carriage shall be butter by the animal another passes as a loss of the degree of the other vessel and any act, they said another passes as a loss of the butter to the carrier of the carrier in the servants of the Garrier in the said and act of the management of the vessel the owners of the goods carried occuments vessel for the names of the said base or liability to the arrived because will indemnify the charrier against said loss or liability represents has a for a damage to be not carrying vessel or her owners of said goods paid or possible by the uther or non-carrying vessel or her owners of said goods and set off re-suped of re-overed by the other or non-carrying vessel or her owners of said goods and set off re-suped of re-overed by the differ or non-carrying vessel or learning to the carrying of the carrying vessel or Carrier.

owners to the owners of said goods and set off, recomped or recovered by the other or ron carrying vessel or her owners as part of their chains negative the carrying vessel or claries.

The foregoing previsions shall also shiply where the owners operature or those in charge of any vessel or vessels or objects after sean or in addition to the scale ing objects are as fault in respect of a and islifting objects of the season of the control of the control

be paid for as fully and in the same manner has been to alvestile or in any case is leadinged to strangers

10. Whenever the Carrier or the Master may done to alvestile or in any case where the goods are consigned to a point where the vessel does not expect to discharge, the carrier or Master may, without notice, forward the whole or any cater to be suffered to the surface or relating to the control of the surface of the vivinge or the route tour level the point of discharge or the destination of the goods, by any construction, whether operated by the Carrier or by others and whether desuring or arriving or schedule to depart or arriva before or after the vessel expected to be used for the transportation of the goods. This Carrier, in making arrangements for any transhipping or forwarding vessel or means of transportation not operated by the Carrier thail before any other components.

weigh measure and came the goals in the as fill a contract promous and admitted breight is myster the go do what he into a current for extensions, weighing measuring and values in a shall be paid on damaged. At the masters the arred for examining, weighing incapating and values the golds and the paid on dam and in meaning and a breight on him a control follows. Eggety five the result is 191 of freight regarded in an eith of lading weight on arrival of vessel at a latter of the result of offset counters at offset, counter-Currents utilis Auga Catalogue, and the her pure of the least the National Counter-prise to the countersuch Consted value the and the large state of the larger shall be a proceed on the Whomever the value of grade is the thele value in the control of a control of the shall for the control of the contr prime facts exidence if the delivery for the Werler of boll, the thing if the base or horses as not opportunity three days of the delivery. The Cartier scale for he three days of the delivery. The larger of the days of the delivery of the goods of the within thirty days effect reader of the notice or guide for a respect of the goods or the days when the goods of the days when the goods of the days when the species of the formed brought uptil jurisdict on sixth average in the day he service of process of the goods of which were and by delay in lives in the more and governmental authority shall have been removed for a first produced for a first produc

the many the manufacture of the second secon

Nothing in this fall of lading small or as important of limitity attentory protection or examining from an important of limitity of the control of the port of discharge in examine for a large earlier of the port of discharge in examine for a large variety of the port of discharge in examine for a large variety of the port of discharge in examine for a large variety of the port of the large variety of the port of the large variety of the large var



Form No. 105-Warshipsagar-1 29/43

WAR SHIPPING ADMINISTRATION SUGAR CHARTER PARTY

between	SOUTH-BOWLAN	D COMPANY, INC.	Owner	Charitana 2
***	30200			
of the good	prices week	"ANACONDA"	of M	3
built 1921		ing sten, i. T.		
classed not ol	te beene		of the	measurement of 5
2100	tons net register acco	rding to U.S. Cert. 1	10. 117 FB now	trading 6
e, and less	ican Sugar Befini	ing Company		Charterer, 7
Vessel (with the	exception of the deck, cal	agrees on the freighting, bin and necessary room for or the cargo hereinaft, r	or the crew and stora	ge of provisions, 9
HAVE	ma, Cuba			
count as one bor	t of loading, except that	s or loading places in any	Hancockle district or	de ene est pest di
safety to		thereunto as she can safe	ely proceed and alway	s he affect with 14
Pos	rt Everglades, Flo	ride		
3.11				
to be designed up		ading (Charter Party), of		
far as the exerci	se of due diligence can	, staunch, strong, and in omake her so, and, except to on board, during the aform	t as hereinafter prov	ided as to sea- 18
Second. The	Vessel, her Master and	Owner, shall not, unless	otherwise in this C	harter expressly 21, under, arising or 22
resulting from	Any act negligt, default	damage or delay or failure or barratry of the Mast	ter, pilots, mariners o	r other severalis 2
or neglect of the	Owners collision, steand	gement of the vessel; fire	ecident of the sea or	other navigable. 43
waters: saving or	attempting to save life	or property; wastage in the lity or vice of the cargo;	weight or bulk, or ar	Ty offer has of 20
The Owner the s	hipper or consignee of the	he cargo, their agents or	representatives, insuf	heiency of pack. 28
A defect, in hull at	minment or machinery:	explosion, burating of bounseaworthiness of the Ve	ssel unless caused by	want of due dille, 30
gence on the par	t of the Owner to make	the Vessel seaworthy or to whatsoever kind arising	o have her properly n	nanned, equipped 31
the Owner And	posther the Vessel her	Master or Owner, nor the	e Charterer, shall, uni	ess otherwise in . 3.
hereunder arising	or resulting from: Act:	naible for any loss or dans of God, act of war; act of	f public enemies, pira	tes, or assauling 55.
thieves arrest or	restraint of princes rule	ers or people, or seizure t	under legal process; s	trike or/lockout an
tion . The Versel	shall have liberty to sai	hatever cause, either part	to tow or to be towe	d, to go to the 3
assistance of ves	sels in distress and to	deviate for the purpose of exemption afforded to	of saving life or prope	erty or of land. 3.
diminish its oblig	ations for hire under the	other provisions of this	Charter.	41

133

136

138

142

Any time used rigging, removing hatches and prejaring staging to count as lay time unless done forging time commences. At discharging part, in the event of strike of stevedores or other ship's serwents, the last days for discharging shall begin at first working period after settlement of strike, unless three (3)

to be received at port of discharge se the rate of not less than 10,500 begs of about 350 pounds sixulent, per running daylor 24 hours, weather permitting, Sundays and holidays not excepted. Cargo to be received at port of discharge at-

Lay days are not reversible.

ischarge at the rates provided lak days shall be computed on W Vessel is prosble to load or

Stevedores at loading port to be appointed by Owner or his agent, Vessel paying rates not exceeding those in effect at loading port on March 16, 1942, including compensation insurance and other charges of 10 any nature pertaining to loading. The rate of freight mentioned above is predicated on such stevedor- 10

ing rates and any increase in same to be for account of cargo.

If cargo is discharged at a Florida or U. S. Gulf port for transhipment to a raffnery at another U. S. 105 port, Charterer will designate wharf or dock at which cargo is to be discharged and will nominate steve 106 dores to perform the discharging operation at current rates, it being further understood that rates for 167 stevedoring are not to exceed those in effect at New Orleans refineries on September 15, 1941. If Vessel 108 discharges at any refinery, receivers to appoint stevedores, same to be paid for by the Owner, but it is 109 understood and agreed that any increase in the cost of stevedoring for raw sugar at a refinery in excess 110 of the rates current September 15, 1941, is to be for account of cargo.

It is understood that the cargo is to be received and delivered alongside the Vessel w

Demirrage in loading and discharging, except as otherwise provided herein, shall be payable by the

Charterer or his agent, day by day, on the basis of of Vessel per day. Dounatel

tared tonnage of Vassel

If such Vessel operating on customary scheduled sailings elects to deliver by lighter instead of at borth 121 designated by the Charterer or his agent, the cargo may be so discharged into lighters at the risk of the 122 cargo but at the expense of the Vessel, and it is mutually understood and agreed that each lighter shall 123 be discharged within five (5) days after same is loaded, and for each and every day's detection of the 124 lighter or lighters beyond the free period, demurrage shall be paid by the cargo at the current rate of 125 the port for each lighter-so detained and shall constitute a lien on the goods, but no demurrage shall accrue, 126 to the Vessel:

On mail vessels and on vessels operating on customary scheduled seilings, no demurrage shall be 128 charged at port of loading, but the Owner reserves the right to sail the Vessel without cargo, and the 129 Charterer shall be liable for lead freight if cargo is not tendered in time to enable the Vessel to load and 130

sail on schedule.

If demurrage is incurred as despetch is seemed, it shall be computed on the basis of a twenty-four (24) hour day.

Demurrage at loading ports shall be endorsed upon bills of lading, but whether so endorsed or not, upon proof of its having been incurred, shall become a lien upon the cargo and shall be collectible in the 134 same manner as the freight money.

Despatch at loading part shall 137 f of its having been earned shall

In the event of any stoppage of work caused by adverse weather conditions, lay days shall be extended for a corresponding period in the determination of demurrage but not in the determination of

.Shore Tally-men, if required, to be employed by the vessel at the expense of the vessel.

Fifth. Bills of lading on approved form shall be signed without prejudice to this charter, and sub- 143 ject to this contract as to freight, dead freight, and all other conditions, including loading, discharging, 144 den:urrage and despatch. Captain to sign bills of lading as presented for full or partial lots as soon as 145 sugar is loaded on board, making proper notation on bills of lading of "not cargo bags." All bags are to be 146 considered cargo bags unless otherwise specified and stowed separately.

Sixth. Public Weighers' count shall be used in determining outturn of cargo, and if there be any 148 dispute in reference to count, an adjustment of such dispute must be arrived at immediately as the truck 149 passes over the Public Weighers' scale, which must be located near the Government scale, and this adjust- 150 ment must be made by a representative each of the Shipper, the Vessel, and the Consignee, and the decision arrived at by a majority of these three parties is to be final and binding on all parties hereto. Vess 153. sel shall be furnished with as many copies of Public Weighers' certificate as may be required, free of charge. 153

The Vessel is to deliver the total number of bags of higar as shown by the bills of lading. Should 154

the Vessel fail to beliver the number of bags specified in the bills of lading the Vessel owner or agent 155 shall pay for such shortage to the Shipper of His agent, at the market quotation on the date of entry at 156 the Custom House at the port of discharge, less freight. In the event of the Vessel having aboard a 157 greater, number of hags of sugar than called for by the bills of lading, such greater number of bags are 158 to be delivered where landed to the order of the Shipper or his agent. All augar cargo on board to be 159

Seventh. The Vessel shall have the liberty to tow and be towed, and to assist vessels in all situations. 161 and to vall at any port or ports for coal or other supplies or both."

sections in determine to count, an adjustment of such dispute must be arrived at immediately as the truck 149 passes over the Public Weighers' scale, which must be located near the Government scale, and this adjust- 150 ment must be made by a representative each of the Shipper, the Vessel, and the Consignee, and the deci- 151 sion arrived, at by a majority of these three parties is to be final and binding on all parties hereto. Ves- 152 sel shall be furnished with as many copies of Public Weighers' certificate as may be required, free of charge. 153

The Vessel is to deliver the total number of bags of sugar as shown by the bills of lading. Should 184
the Vessel fail to deliver the number of bags specified in the bills of lading the Vessel owner or agent 155 shall pay for such shortage to the Shipper or his agent, at the market quotation on the date of entry at 156 the Custom House at the port of discharge, less freight. In the event of the Vessel having aboard a 157 greater number of bags of sugar than called for by the bills of lading, such greater number of bags are 158 to be delivered where landed to the order of the Shirper or his agent. All augar cargo on board to be 159 Seventh. The Vossel shall have the liberty to tow and be towed, and to assist vessels in all situations, 161 and to call at any port or ports for coal or other supplies or both. be fruitht charges 165 Ninth. The cargo or cargoes shall be delivered and received alongside the Vessel, where she can load 166 and discharge always safely affort, and proceed and return always safely affort, within reach of the tackless that and lighterage and also extra lighterage, if any, shall be at the risk and expense of the cargo. Tenth. The Vessel shall be discharged at such wharf as Charterer may designate, where she shall 169 pay not more than the established legal rate of wharfage, and where she may safely lie affoat and wholly 170 along-sile. The Vessel is to leave receiver's berth immediately upon completing delivery, weather and tide 171 permitting. The Vessel will descharge at a second berth at the same discharging port, provided that the 172 consignee pays for all extra expense of shifting, time for shifting to count. Eleventh. The Versel shall have an absolute lien on cargo, for freight, dead freight and demurrage, 174 and any other charges due hereunder Twelfth. Lay days; if required by Charter chall not set post ready for darge by

Thirteenth. Cowner to appoint and pay agents at leading and discharging ports to attend to ship's 479 hus nosa

Fourteenth. The Vessel shall be free of all weighing expenses at port of discharge, notwithstanding 181 any custom of the port to the contrary.

Fifteenth. Any and all differences and disputes of whatsoever nature arising out of this charter shall 183 be put to arbitration at the final place of discharge unless the parties hereto otherwise agree pursuant to 184 the provisions of the United States Arbitration Act (Title 9 of U.S. C., Chapter 213 of the Act of Feb. 12, 185, 1925, 43 Stat. 833), except that the provisions of Section 8 thereof shall not apply to any arbitration here. 186 ander. The decision of any two of the three on any point or points shall be final. Either party hereto 187 may call for such arbitration by service upon any officer of the other; wherever he may be found, of a 188 written notice specifying the name and address of the arbitrator chosen by the first moving party and a 189 brief description of the disputes or differences which such party degree to put to arbitration. If the other 190 party shall not, by notice served upon an officer of the first moving party within twenty days of the serve 191 ice of such first notice, appoint its aristrator to arbitrate the dispute of differences specified, then the 192 first moving party shall have the right without further notice to appoint a second arbitrator, who shall 197 be a disinferested person, with pressily the same force and effect as if said second arbitrator had been I appointed by the other party. In the event that the two arbitrators fail to appoint a third arbi- 1 appointed by the other party. In the event that the two arouteners rail to appoint a third arou-less its growth a twenty days of the appointment of the vecond arbitrator, either arbitrator may apply 1975 to a Judge of any court of partition integral to be city above mentioned for the appointment 197 of a first arbitrator, and the appointment of each arbitrator by such Judge on such applyation shall 198 have productly the same force and effect as if such arbitrator had been appointed by the two arbi- 199 trains. Until such time as the achitrators, finally code the hearings either party shall have the 200 right by written notice served on the arbitrators and on an officer of the other party to specify 201 further disputes or differences under this Charter for hearing and determination. Awards made in 202 pursuance to this Charter may include costs, including a reasonable allowance for attorney's fees, and 203 judgment may be entered upon any award reade hereunder in any Court having jurisdiction in the 204

Sixteenth Neither the carrier nor any corporation owned or employed by, subsidiary to or associated 206 or affiliated with or furnishing wharfage to the varrier shall be diable for any loss or damage to the goods 207 occurring as any time, and even though before leading on or after discharge from the vessel, by reason 20% of any fire whatsoever, unless such fire shall be caused by its design or neglect

A commission of 11, upon the gross freight under this Charter is due and payable 210 by the Vessel and Owner, upon payment of freight, to Charterer's Brokers. Victor 3. Souther 211
All arrangements for weighers, samplers and other Customs formalities in connection with the case 212
to be taken care of he the consigned and any delay in leading or discharging due to the failure of con213
segment to make such arrangements shall count as laytime.

214

Clauses Eighteen. Nineteen and Twenty (Lines 215 to 327) printed on reverse side of this form are a part of this Charter.

Eighterath. All bills of lading issued bereunder shall contain, directly or by reference, the following 215 clauses:

(i) Clause Paramount:

"This bill of lading shall have effect subject to the provisions of the Carriage of Goods by Sea 218 Act of the United States, approved April 16, 1936, which shall be deemed to be incorporated herein, 219 and nothing herein contained shall be deemed a surrender by the carrier of any of its rights or 220 immunities or an increase of any of its responsibilities or liabilities under said Act. If any term 221 of this bill of lading be repugnant to said Act to any extent, such term shall be void to that 222 extent but no further."

(ii) Both-To-Blame Collision Clause:

"If the ship comes into collision with another ship as a result of the hegligence of the other 225 ship and any act, neglect or default of the master, mariner, pilot or the servants of the carrier 226 in the navigation or in the management of the ship, the owners of the goods carried hereunder 227, will indemnify the carrier against all loss of liability to the other or noncarrying ship or her 228 soever of the owners of said goods, paid or payable by the other or noncarrying ship or her 230 owners to the owners of said goods and set-off, recouped or recovered by the other or non-231 carrying ship or her owners as part of their claim against the carrying ship or carrier. The fore-232 going provisions shall also apply where the owners, operators or those in tharge of any ship or 233 ships or objects other than, or in addition to, the collising ships or objects are at fault in respect 234 of a collision or contact."

(iii) General Average Clause:

"General average shall be adjusted, stated, and settled, according to Rules 1.to 15, inclusive, 237 to 22, inclusive, and Rule F of York-Antwerp Rules 1924, at such port or place in the United 238 States as may be selected by the carrier, and as to matters not provided for by these Rules, 239 according to the laws and usages at the port of New York. In such adjustment, disbursements 240 in foreign currencies shall be exchanged into United States money at the rate prevailing on the 241 dates made and allowances for damage to cargo claimed in foreign currency shall be converted 242 at the rate prevailing on the last day of discharge at the port or place of final discharge of such 243 damaged cargo from the ship. Average agreement or bond and such additional security, as may 241 be required by the carrier, must be furnished before delivery of the goods. Such cash deposit a 245 the carrier or his agents may deem sufficient as additional security for the contribution of the 236 goods and for any salvage and special charges thereon, shall, if required, be made by the goods, 247 shippers, consignees, or owners of the goods to the carrier before delivery. Such deposit shall, 248 at the option of the carrier, be payable in United States money, and be remitted to the adjuster 219 When so remitted the deposit shall be held in a special account at the place of adjustment in the 250 mame of the adjuster pending settlement of the general average and refunds or credit balances. 251 if any, shall be paid in United States money."

(iv) Amended "Jason" Clause:

"In the event of accident, danger, damage, or disaster before or after commencement of the 254 voyage resulting from any cause whatsoever, whether due to negligence or not, for which or 5 r 255 the consequence of which the carrier is not responsible by statute, contract, or otherwise, and 256 goods, shippers, consignees, or owners of the goods shall contribute with the carrier is g at 256 average to the payment of any sacrifices, losses or expenses of a general average nature that 258 may be made at incurred, and shall pay salvage and special charges incurred in respect of the 259 goods. If a salving ship is owned or operated by the calling shall be paid for as fully 260 as if the salving ship or ships belong to strangers."

(v) In any situation whatsoever and wheresoever occurring and whether existing of anticipated before 262 commencement of or during the voyage, which in the judgment of the cartier or master is 261 likely to give rise to risk of capture, seizure, detention: damage, delay, or disadvantage to or loss 264 of the ship or any part of her cargo, or to make it unsafe, imprudent, or unlawful for any rea- 265 son to commence or proceed on or continue the voyage or to enter or discharge the goods at the 266 port of discharge, or to rive rise to delay or difficulty in arriving, discharging at or having the 267 port of discharge or the usual place of discharge in such port, the carrier may before loading or 268 before the commencement of the voyage, require the shipper or other person cutified thereto to 260 take delivery of the goods at port of shipment and upon their failure to do se, may ware house the goods at the risk and expense of the goods; or the carrier or master, whether or tor preceeding toward or entering or attempting to enter the port of discharge or reaching or attempting to 272 reach the usual place of discharge therein or attempting to discharge the goods there, may discharge the goods into depot, hazarotto, clark or other place; or the ship may product or reare. 275 directly or indirectly, to or stop at any such port or place whatsoever as the master of the care 275 rier may consider safe or advisable under the circumstances, and discharge the goods, or any 276 part thereof, at any such port or place; or the earrier or the master may retain the engo an board until the return trip or until such time as the carrier or the master thing, advirable and discharge the goods at any place whatsoever as her an provided or the carrier or the master may discharge and forward the goods by any means of the risk and expendent the goods. The war, 200 rier or the master is not required to give notice of discharge of the goods, or the forwarding 281. thereof as herein provided. When the goods are discharged from the ship, as bereit provided, 280 they shall be at their own risk and expense; such discharge, shall constitute cottopie. delectional. performance under this contract and the carrier shall be freed from any further responsibility 2-1

. . .

voyage resulting from any cause whatsoever, whether due to negligence or not, for which of the gift the consequence of which the carrier is not responsible by statute, contract, or otherwise, the 256 goods, shippers, consignees, or owners of the goods shall contribute with the carrier in g E val 257 average to the payment of any sacrifices, losses or expenses of a general average nature that 258 may be made or incurred and shall pay salvage and special charges incurred in respect of the 25a goods. If a salving ship is owned or operated by the call salvage shall be paid for as fully 266 as if the salving ship or ships belong to strangers."

- (v). In any situation whatsoever and wheresoever occurring and whether existing or anticipated before 262 commencement of or during the voyage, which in the judgment of the carrier or master is 26.1 likely to give rise to risk of capture, seizure, detention, damage, delay, or disadvantage to or loss 264 of the ship or any part of her cargo, or to make it unsafe, imprudent, or unlawful for any rea- 265 son to commence or proceed on or continue the voyage or to enter or discharge the goods at the 200 port of discharge, or to give rise to delay or difficulty in arriving, discharging at or leaving the 267 port of discharge or the usual place of discharge in such port, the carrier may before loading or 268 before the commencement of the voyage, require the shipper or other person entitled thereto to 269 take delivery of the goods at port of shipment and upon their failure to do so, may warehouse the 270 goods at the risk and expense of the goods; or the carrier of master, whether or not profeeding toward or entering or attempting to enter the port of discharge or reaching or attempting to 27 reach the usual place of discharge therein or attempting to discharge the goods there, may discharge the goods into depot, lazaretto, eraft or other plate; or the ship may proceed or return 271 directly or indirectly, to or stop at any such port or place whatsoever as the master of the car. 275 rier may consider safe or advisable under the circumstances, and discharge the goods, or any 276 part thereof, at any such port or place; or the carrier or the master may is tain the cargo en board until the return trip or until such time as the carrier or the master thinks advisable and discharge the goods at any place whatsoever, as herein provided or the carrier or the master may discharge and forward the goods by any means at the risk and expense of the goods. The car rier or the master is not required to give notice of discharge of the goods, or the forwarding 281 thereof as herein provided. When the goods are discharged from the ship, as herein provided, 282 they shall be at their own risk and expense; such discharge shall constitute complete delivery and isse performance under this contract and the carrier shall be freed from any further responsibility 284. For any service rendered to the goods as herein provided the carrier shall be establed to a real 285. sanable extra compensation.
- (vi) The carrier, master and ship shall have liberty to comply with any orders or directions at the 287 loading, departure, arrival, routes, ports of call, stoppinges, discharge, destination, delivery or 288 otherwise howsoever given by the government of any nation or department thereof or any parting 28 a acting or purporting to act with the authority of such government or of any department thereof 200 or by any committee or person having, under the terms of the war risk instrunce on the ship, the 201 right to give such orders or directions. Delivery or other disposition of the good in access ance with such orders or directions shall be a fulfillment of the contract voyage. The ship may carry contraband, explosives, munitions, warlike stores, hazardous cargo, and may sail armed of 291 unarmed and with or without convoy.

In addition to all other liberties herein the carrier shall have the right to withhold delivery of, record 200 to, deposit or discharge the goods at any place whatsoever, surrender or dispense of the goods have not 250 ance with any direction, condition or agreement imposed upon or exacted from the carrier by any govern. 255 ment or department thereof or any person purporting to act with the authority of either of them. In any of 200 the above circumstances the goods shall be solely at their risk and expense and all expenses and charges 300 so incurred shall be payable by the owner or consignee thereof and shall be a lien on the goods.

This charter shall also be subject to the provisions of paragraph (ii), that (iv) (v) and any of 'Clause Eighteen.

Nineteenth. The Master and the Vessel shall have liberty to comply with any orders or incitions. to loading, departure, arrival, routes, ports of call, stoppages, discharge, distination, whivers or objects howsoever given by the government of any nation or department thereof or any party, as true or competting to act with the authority of such government or of any department thereof, or is any interest. person having, under the terms of the war risk insurance on the shap the right to get person having, under the terms of the wait risk insurance or the ship, the right to give directions, and if by reason of or it compliance with any sac archive or the came another not done, such shall not be deemed a deviation or breach of orders or neglect of duty to the Vesselic Provided; however. That who never any such archives a given dynamic of the United States or its representative area in love to smiles directions given dynamic the Charterer as to the employment of the Vesselic breach of the Maria visit is practice. The agents of to a representative of the travelent of the Line I take advice and shall not comply with such orders or director. The of the infrared provided further, however, That of it is infrared, now in any such orders or director with due regard to the interests of all surveied, regard to hard the first or director. and carg

the Congress, nor Resident Commissions, shall be admitted by a mark the Congress, nor Resident Commissions, shall be admitted by a mark that may arise therefrom except as provided they also the force of a appropriate that may arise therefore except as provided they also the force of a appropriate distribution of all and every overiging the force of a green parties distributed by an arise that same of the proved immages, but not exceeding the estimated above of the This agreement is subject to the approval of the United States Marriage Commission.

tions imposed by said Commission pursuant to the Ship Warrant, And Put

In mecessary to shift barge at loading port, shifting charges

Pwenty-second. Pug-power to be furnished by Owners and all towing charges to be for account of Owners.

fwenty-third. Wherever the word "vessel" or "steamer" appears it is understood "barge" shall apply.

lwesty-fourth. It is mutually understood and agreed that this vessel has no loading or discharging gear and the necessar; gear for loading and discharging will be furnished to Charterer at Charterers' risk and expense.

Twenty-fifth. This Chart'r Party is to remain in effect for one (1) voyage.

Twenty-sixth. Any increase in freight including surcharge, if any, and/or any change in terms and conditions of charter party in effect and approved by the U. S. Maritime Commission or war Shipping administration at the time vessel tenders for loading under this Charter to apply.

IN WINKESS WEIGHTOF, we hereunte set our hands the day and year first above written.

SMICH-HOW had D. JO., Inc.

Vice-President

The as rices Super Refining Company

(sud) F. a. aiplay

On the 9th day of April, 1943, NOTICE OF AP-PEAL was filed, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

"Sirs:

Please Take Notice that The American Sugar Refining Company appeals to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the 5th Circuit from the final decree entered herein on January 14, 1943, as of January 12, 1943, and from each and every part thereof.

Dated, Miami, Florida, April 9th, 1943.

Yours, etc.,

BATCHELOR & DYER.

Ingraham Building, Miami, Florida.

hre

BIGHAM, ENGLAR, JONES & HOUSTON,
Proctors for Libelant.

99 John Street, New York, N. Y.

To: Edwin R. Williams, Esq.,

Clerk of the United States District

Court for the Southern District

of Florida, Miami Division.

Cody Fowler, Esq.,

Proctor for Claimant-Respondent."

On the 9th day of April, 1943, STIPULATION was filed as of January 8, 1943, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

"A libel having been filed herein on the 6th day of January, 1943, demanding damages in an alleged cause of contract and cargo damage, civil and maritime; and process in rem, and in personam with clause of foreign attachment having been issued; and the barge 'Anaconda' having been attached by the United States Marshal for this District by virtue of such process:

Now, in consideration of the consent of libelant to the release of the barge 'Anaconda' from attachment, and of the further agreement on the part of the libelant to refrain from again seeking the attachment of said barge in this suit or in any other suit involving the same subject matter, United Kingdom Mutual Steam Ship Assurance Association, Limited, agrees that this stipulation may stand as a substitute for the barge 'Anaconda' in this suit; and hereby guarantees to pay any final decree which may be rendered against the barge 'Anaconda' or against Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., by this Court in this suit, or, if an appeal intervene, by any Appellate Court; not, however, exceeding the value of the barge 'Anaconda' at the conclusion of the voyage described in the libel; and reserving to claimant-respondent the right, if any be given by law, to appeal from any such final decree, or decree of any Appellate Court.

It is understood that this agreement binds only the United Kingdom Mutual Steam Ship Assurance Association, Limited, and not Monroe & Ard or John C. Monroe.

This agreement is signed by Monroe & Ard on behalf of the United Kingdom Mutual Steam Ship Assurance Association, Limited, in this particular transaction pursuant to special cable authority received January 7, 1943, by Monroe & Ard from Thomas R. Miller & Son, Managers of said Association.

Dated, New York, N. Y., January 8, 1943.

UNITED KINGDOM MUTUAL STEAM SHIP ASSURANCE ASSOCIATION, LIMITED,

By MONROE & ARD,

As Attorney-in-Fact for the above limited purpose only,

By JOHN C. MONROE.

We hereby consent to the substitution of the foregoing stipulation for the barge 'Anaconda' in this suit, consent to the release of the said barge from attachment in this suit, and agree to refrain from again seeking the attachment of said barge in this suit, or in any suit involving the same subject matter.

THE AMERICAN SUGAR RE-FINING COMPANY,

By BIGHAM, ENGLAR. JONES & HOUSTON.

Proctors for Libelant.

Filed this April 9th, 1943, as of January 8th, 1943.

JOHN W. HOLLAND,

Judge."

On the 9th day of April, 1943, ASSIGNMENT OF ERRORS was filed, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

"Libelant, The American Sugar Refining Company, assigns errors to the findings of fact and conclusions of law

of this Court, entered herein on the 14th day of January, 1943, and to the final decree, entered herein on January 14, 1943, as of January 12, 1943, as follows:

- 1. In that the Court found that it had no jurisdiction in admiralty except under the provisions of the Arbitration Act (9 U. S. C., §§-15).
- 2. In that the Court found that the provisions of the charter party do not seek to oust this Court of jurisdiction.
- * 3. In that the Court found that the provisions of Section 8 of the United States Arbitration Act (9 U. S. C., 8) could be waived by private contract.
- 4. In that the Court found that provisions of Section 3 of the United States Arbitration Act (9 U. S. C., 3) were not applicable.
- 5. In that the Court found that the provisions of Paragraph 15th of the charter party were valid in their entirety.
- 6. In that the Court found that libelant had violated the provisions of the charter party in filing the libel.
- 7. In that the Court dismessed the libel and ordered the release of the vessel from attachment.

Dated Miami, Florida, April 9th, 1943.

BATCHELOR & DYER, BIGHAM, ENGLAR, JONES & HOUSTON,

Proctors for Libelant,
By O. D. BATCHELOR.

A copy of the Notice of Appeal; Petition for Appeal, and Assignment of Errors is hereby acknowledged as received this 9th day of April, 1943.

CODY FOWLER,
By RALPH O. CULLEN,
Proctor for ClaimantRespondent."

On the 14th day of April, 1943, BOND FOR COSTS ON APPEAL was filed in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

"Know All Men By These Presents, That we, The American Sugar Refining Company, a New Jersey corporation, as principal, and Seaboard Surety Company; a New York corporation, as Surety, are held and firmly bound unto Barge 'Anaconda' and Smith-Towland Company, Inc., in the sum of two hundred and fifty (\$250.00) Dollars, to be paid to said Barger 'Anaconda' and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., their successors and assigns, for the payment of which well and truly to be made, we bind ourselves and each of us, our successors and assigns, jointly and severally, firmly by these presents. Sealed with our seals and dated the 13th day of April, 1943.

Whereas, The American Sugar Refining Company, as appellant, has prosecuted an appeal to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, from a decree of the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida, bearing date the 12th day of January, 1943, in a suit wherein The American Sugar Refining Company is libelant against Barge 'Anaconda' and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc.:

Now, Therefore, the condition of this obligation is such that if the above named appellant, The American Sugar Refining Company, shall prosecute said appeal with effect, and pay all costs which may be awarded against it as such appellant if the appeal is not sustained, then this obligation shall be void, otherwise the same shall be and remain in full force and effect.

THE AMERICAN SUGAR RE-FINING COMPANY, a New Jersey Corporation, By O. D. BATCHELOR,

Agent and Proctor.

SEABOARD SURETY COM-PANY, a: New York Corporation. By JANE K. HOPKINS.

Stipulator.

(Corp Seal)

Taken and approved before me this 14th day of April. A. D. 1943.

(Seal)

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS. Clerk U. S. Dist. Court. By ANNA M. FITZSIMMONS. Deputy Clerk."

On the 24th day of April, 1943, DIRECTIONS 28. TO CLERK FOR PREPARING TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD ON APPEAL was filed, in words and figures as follows:

(Title Omitted.)

The Clerk of the above styled Court will please include in the transcript of record on appeal the following instruments:

Libel and Complaint filed January 6, 1943.

Order for Process filed January 6, 1943.

Request for Dismissal filed January 7, 1943.

Order of Dismissal filed January 7, 1943.

Special Appearance and Exception to Jurisdiction filed January 9, 1943.

Order of Court filed January 9, 1943.

Proof of Publication filed January 11, 1943.

Attachment filed January 12, 1943.

Monition filed January 12, 1943.

Writ of Restitution filed January 12, 1943.

Attachment filed January 12, 1943.

Monition filed January 12, 1943.

Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, filed January 14, 1943.

Order of Court filed January 14, 1943.

Writ of Restitution filed January 19, 1943.

Two Exhibits filed before Judge Holland on January 12, 1943, one named Bill of Lading and the other Sugar Charter Party.

Notice of Appeal filed April 9, 1943.

Stipulation filed April 9, 1943, as of January 8, 1943.

Assignment of Errors filed April 9, 1943.

Bond for Costs on Appeal filed April 14, 1943.

These Directions, filed April 24, 1943.

BIGHAM, ENGLAR, JONES & HOUSTON and BATCHELOR & DYER,
By O. D. BATCHELOR,
Proctors for Libelant.

The undersigned proctor for Claimant-Respondent consents to the inclusion of the above named papers in the Transcript of Record on Appeal, same constituting all the proceedings had in this case.

CODY FOWLER,

By CODY FOWLER,

Proctor for ClaimantRespondent.

29

In the United States District Court in and for the Southern District of Florida, Miami Division.

The American Sugar Refining Company, Libelant, vs.

Barge "Anaconda" and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., Respondents.

I, EDWIN R. WILLIAMS, Clerk of the United States District Court in and for the Southern District of Florida, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages numbered 1 to 28, both inclusive, present a true, full and correct copy of the proceedings had, and orders entered, as therein stated, in Case No. 355-M-Adm., wherein The American Sugar Refining Company was Libelant, and Barge "Anaconda" and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., were Respondents, as the same appears of record and on file in this office.

Witness my official signature, and the seal of said District Court, at my office in the City of Miami, State of Florida, this 27th day of April, A. D. 1943.

(Seal)

EDWIN R. WILLIAMS,

Clerk, United States District

Court, Southern District of

Florida.

By EARLE F. SPRIGG, Deputy Clerk. [fol. 48] That thereafter the following proceedings were had in said cause in the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Ffth Circuit, viz:

ARGUMENT AND SUBMISSION

Extract from the Minutes of October 20, 1943

No. 10671

AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING COMPANY

versus

BARGE "ANACONDA" and SMITH-ROWLAND COMPANY, INC.

On this day this cause was called, and, after argument by Henry N. Longley, Esq., for appellant, and Cody Fowler, Esq., for appellees, was submitted to the Court.

[fol. 49] Opinion of the Court—Filed November 9, 1943

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No. 10671

American Sugar Refining Company, Appellant, versus

BARGE "ANACONDA" and SMITH-ROWLAND COMPANY, INC.,
Appellees

Appeal from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida

(November 9, 1943)

Before Hutcheson and Holmes, Circuit Judges, and Russell, District Judge

HUTCHESON, Circuit Judge:

Appellant filed its libel in rem against the barge "Anaconda" and in personam against Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., its owner. In due course the barge was seized under

[fol. 49-1] process in rem and as Smith-Rowland Company's property under foreign attachment in the suit in personam. Appellee Smith-Rowland Company, Inc. appeared specially and excepted to the jurisdiction of the court on the grounds: that the contract of charter-party on which the libel was based contained a provision for arbitration: that jurisdiction of the cause of action set out in the libel existed, therefore, only by reason of the U.S. Arbitration Act 9, U.S. C. 1; and that the parties, having provided that "the provisions of Sec. 8 of the Act shall not apply to any arbitration thereunder", thereby agreed to and did oust the jurisdiction in rem of the admiralty courts and deprive those courts of the right to proceed by libel and seizure of the vessel or other property according to the usual course of admiralty proceedings. The district judge treated the exception as a motion to dismiss; held that the invoked provision of the charter-party was a valid agreement to waive security pending arbitration, and had the effect of ousting the admiralty jurisdiction based on seizure; 2 declined the libelant's request to stay the proceedings under Sec. 3 of the Act; and dismissed the libel. Libelant is here insisting that, in so ruling, the district judge completely misapprehended the nature and effect of the invoked agreement, and particularly misapprehended the purport and effect of the

[&]quot;Fifteenth: Any and all differences and disputes of whatsoever nature arising out of this charter shall be put to arbitration at the final place of discharge unless the parties hereto otherwise agree pursuant to the provisions of the United States Arbitration Act (Title 9, of U. S. C. A., Chapter 213 of the Act of Feb. 12, 1925, 43 Stat. 833) except that the provisions of Section 8 thereof shall not apply to any arbitration thereunder."

^{2.&}quot;By Sec. 8 there is preserved the recognized right of a proposed libelant to proceed with libel to obtain the seizure of the vessel or other property of the alleged offending party. By written agreement members to a charter-party may agree for arbitration of their differences, and may furthr agree to forego the rights preserved by Sec. 8 during the period of arbitration. Thereby the parties to the charter-party do not attempt to do violence to Courts of admiralty in the exercise of jurisdiction over parties and subject matter."

arbitration act and its effect upon agreements attempting [fol. 49-2] to oust the established jurisdiction in admiralty and to provide that parties may not resort to the procedure

provided by the Act.

We agree with appellant. The libel sets out a cou-se of action within the admiralty jurisdiction. This being so, and jurisdiction having been obtained by seizure in accordance with recognized admiralty procedure and the provisions of the Act, it should have been maintained, The district judge thought and said that the charter-party agreement, "except that the provisions of Sec. 8 thereof shall not apply to any arbitration hereunder", was an effective waiver not only of the right to invoke the in rem jurisdiction of admiralty but also, because the vessel had been seized under foreign attachment, of the right to proceed in personam. But this will not at all do. The law is. and always has been, in the absence of a statute authorizing them to do so, that parties may not by private agreement oust the jurisdiction of the courts, 3 Am. Jur. Sec. 4, p. 834 et seq. It was, therefore, settled law that prior. to the enactment of the arbitration act, an agreement to arbitrate would not be specifically enforced in United States Courts, nor was it recognized as a defense to an action.3 The act was passed not to oust the jurisdiction of the courts but to provide for maintaining their jurisdiction while at the same time recognizing arbitration agreements as affirmative defenses and providing a forum for their specific enforcement.

Assuming then, without deciding, that the provision for excepting section 8 had the purpose attributed to it by the district judge of preventing the filing of a libel in rem or the issuance of seizure process and thus of ousting to that extent the jurisdiction of the admiralty courts and nullify-[fol. 50] ing the provision of Sec. 8 of the act expressly au-

² Continental Grain Co. vs. Dant, 118 F. (2) 967; Red Cross Line vs. Atlantic Fruit Co., 264 U. S. 109; Insurance Co. vs. Morse, 20 Wallace 445; Tatsuuma K. K. K. vs. Prescott, 4 F. (2) 670 (C. C. A. 9); Monahan v. S. S. Howick Hall, 10 F. (2) 162. See also Petition of Pahlberg, 43 Fed. Sup. 761.

In Re: Utility Oil Corp., 69 Fed. (2) 525. Cf. Petition of Pahlberg, supra.

thorizing such procedure, such purpose cannot be given effect. We suppose no one would claim validity for an arbitration agreement which provided broadly that no suit of any kind could be brought in an admiralty court and that none of the provisions of the arbitration act should apply. The clause in question, if given the effect contended for it. is, to the extent that it ousts the jurisdiction of the court and nullifies the provisions of the act, as clearly invalid as the more general one would be. It must be remembered that Sec. 8 of the Act did not confer jurisdiction in admiralty to proceed by seizure in rem or in aid of a suit in personam. That jurisdiction had long existed in admiralty, that jurisdiction parties to an arbitration agreement cannot agree away. The purpose and effect of Section 8 was to leave in no doubt that the right conferred by Section 4 in effect to require specific performance of an arbitration agreement would be available as well in suits where property was seized as in those where it was not. Courts will not construe an arbitration agreement as ousting them of their jurisdiction unless such construction is inevitable, Am. Gty. Co. vs. Caldwell, 72 Fed (2) 209. In. consonance with that rule, the clause excepting Section 8 should be given the effect, and that only, of providing that the parties could, but they were not obliged to resort to arbitration without first proceeding by seizure as provided for in Sec. 8. So construed, as permitting, but not binding, the parties not to invoke the provisions of Sec. 8 as a condition of arbitration, the agreement would be valid, and if, without suing, the parties had completely executed the agreement to arbitrate, it might well be that the waiver of proceedings, under Sec. 8 could have been enforced. But an arbitration agreement may be repudiated, waived or abandoned by one or both of the parties to it.5 Appel-[fol. 51] lant's act, in electing to sue without advertising to or declaring on the arbitration agreement, was an attempted repudiation of the agreement, and while appellee, if it elected to hold to it, had the right, to plead the agree-

⁸ The Quarrington Court, 25 Fed. Sup. 665; The Belize, 25 Fed. Sup. 663; Krauss Bros. vs. Bossert, 62 F. (2) 1004; Galion Iron Works vs. Adams Mfg. Co., 128 F. (2) 411; LaNacional Platanera vs. N. A. Fruit & Steamship Corp., 84 F. (2) 881.

ment to arbitrate as a defense, to obtain its enforcement, and to stay the suit while the arbitration proceedings were going forward, the agreement did not oust the jurisdiction of the court to entertain the suit. It did not give appellee the right to have the suit dismissed. The judgment dismissing the suit was wrong. It is reversed, and the cause is remanded for further and not inconsistent proceedings.

[fol. 52]

JUDGMENT

Extract from the Minutes of November 9th, 1943

No. 10671

AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING COMPANY

versus

BARGE "ANACONDA" and SMITH-ROWLAND COMPANY, INC.

This cause came on to be heard on the transcript of the record from the District Court of the United States for the Southern District of Florida, and was argued by counsel;

On consideration whereof, It is now here ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and the same is hereby, reversed; and that this cause be, and it is hereby, remanded to the said District Court for further and not inconsistent proceedings:

It is further ordered, adjudged and decreed by this Court, that the appellees Barge "Anaconda" and Smith Rowland Company, Inc., and the surety on the stipulation for value for the release of the Barge "Anaconda", United Kingdom Mutual Steam Ship Assurance Association, Limited, be condemned, in solido, to pay the costs of this cause in this Court, for which execution may be issued out of the said District Court.

[fol. 53] MOTION AND ORDER STAYING MANDATE—Filed December 6, 1943

IN THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

No./10671

AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING COMPANY, Appellant,

VS.

Barge "Anaconda" and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc.,
Appellees

Petition to Stay Mandate

Come now the appellees by their proctor undersigned and respectfully move this Honorable Court or any Judge thereof, to stay for a period of thirty (30) days the issuing of the mandate, judgment and decree in this cause. The purpose of this application is to permit appellees, Barge "Anaconda" and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc., to file an application for a certiorari before the Honorable Supreme Court of the United States of America to review the judgment of this Honorable Court entered herein on the 9th day of November, 1943.

(Signed) Cody Fowler, Proctor for Barge "Anaconda" and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc.

[fol, 54] United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eifth District

No. 10671

AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING COMPANY, Appellant,

Versus

Barge "Anaconda" and Smith-Rowland Company, Inc.,
Appellees

On consideration of the application of the appellees in the above numbered and entitled cause for a stay of the mandate of this court therein, to enable appellees to apply

for and to obtain a writ of certiorari from the Supreme Court of the United States, It is ordered that the issue of the mandate of this court in said cause be and the same is staved for a period of thirty days; the stay to continue in force until the final disposition of the case by the Supreme Court, provided that within thirty days from the date of this order there shall be filed with the clerk of this court the certificate of the clerk of the Supreme Court that the certiorari petition, record and brief have been filed, and that due proof of service of notice thereof under Paragraph 3 of Rule 38 of the Supreme Court has been given. further ordered that the clerk shall issue the mandate upon the filing of a copy of an order of the Supreme Court denying the writ, or upon the expiration of thirty days from the date of this order, unless the above-mentioned certificate shall be filed with the clerk of this court within that

Done at New Orleans, La., this 1st day of December, 1943.

(Signed) E. R. Holmes United States Circuit Judge.

[fol. 54½] ORDER FURTHER STAYING MANDATE—Filed December 31, 1943

United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth District

No. 10671

AMERICAN SUGAR REFINING COMPANY, Appellant,

versus

BARGE "ANACONDA" AND SMITH-ROWLAND COMPANY, INC.,
Appellees

On consideration of the application of the appellees in the above numbered and entitled cause for a stay of the mandate of this court therein, to enable appellees to apply for and to obtain a writ of certiorari from the Supreme Court of the United States, It is ordered that the issue of the mandate of this court in said cause be and the same is stayed for a period of thirty days from January 1, 1944; the stay to continue in force until the final disposition of the case by the Supreme Court, provided that within thirty days from January 1, 1944 there shall be filed with the clerk of this court the certificate of the clerk of the Supreme Court that the certificate of the clerk of the Supreme Court that the certificate of service of notice thereof under Paragraph 3 of Rule 38 of the Supreme Court has been given. It is further ordered that the clerk shall issue the mandate upon the filing of a copy of an order of the Supreme Court denying the writ, or upon the expiration of thirty days from January 1, 1944, unless the above-mentioned certificate shall be filed with the clerk of this court within that time.

Done at New Orleans, La., this 31st day of December, 1943.

(Signed) E. R. Holmes United States Circuit Judge.

[fol. 55] Clerk's Certificate to foregoing transcript omitted in printing.

[fol. 56] SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORDER ALLOWING CERTIORARI Filed February 28, 1944

The petition herein for a writ of certiorari to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit is granted.

And it is further ordered that the duly certified copy of the transcript of the proceedings below which accompanied the petition shall be treated as though filed in response to such writ.